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## 1. Statement from the Vice Chancellor

Here at the University of Liverpool, we are committed to improving equality for staff and students and increasing our diversity as an institution. These values are enshrined in Strategy 2026 and specifically our People Strategy, and they underpin everything we do.

As part of this we've made great strides in our work around gender equality, and we're at the start of a journey to achieve a sustainable, long-term approach to the gender pay gap.

This report is an important step in understanding the factors influencing our pay gap in more detail and developing on our action plan designed to address them.

In order to do that we aim to remove those barriers that persist in stopping women progressing to some senior roles and promote choice for all our staff to seek opportunities across the many roles and careers available to us in the HE sector.

I am hugely proud of our work as a University to advance equality and diversity. I confirm that the information within the report is accurate and will be used to further focus our activity and increase the impact of the work that is already ongoing to create an equal environment for everyone.

Yours sincerely,

Professor Dame Janet Beer
Vice Chancellor


## 2. Introduction

This report sets out the Statutory Gender Pay Gap Report for 2019 as per the requirements in the Equality Act 2010. In addition, the report includes the Ethnicity Pay Gap, People of Colour Pay Gap, Disability Pay Gap, and Sexual Orientation Pay Gap using the same calculation methodology and data sample.

The Statutory Pay Gap calculation includes all full time relevant employees in March 2019, after Salary Sacrifice deductions have been made.

Ordinary Pay, which the mean and median is calculated from, is a calculation of the hourly income of an employee composed of their basic pay, allowances, shift premium pay, and any pay for piecework. Ordinary Pay is calculated from monies received in March 2019.

Bonus Pay is any form of money, vouchers, securities, securities options, or interests received by an employee which is awarded as a result of profit sharing arrangements, productivity, performance, incentives or commission. Bonus Pay is calculated from monies received in the 12 months leading up to March 2019.

Where a pay gap number is a positive figure and black, this means that there is a pay gap in favour of baseline group e.g. men, where a number is a negative figure and red, this means that there is a pay gap in favour of the comparison group e.g. women. A green arrow indicates a positive change to reduce the pay gap, a red arrow indicates a negative change, and a black arrow indicates a change that is not deemed to be positive or negative.

## 3. Statutory Gender Pay Gap Report 2019

In this section is reported the Statutory Gender Pay Gap, the Gender Pay Gap (Excluding Casual Staff), and a review of Bonus Pay. A positive black number, means that there is a pay gap in favour of men, whereas a negative red number means that there is a pay gap in favour of women.

### 3.1. Statutory Gender Pay Gap

7509 (up from 7198) Full Pay Relevant Employees are included in the Statutory Gender Pay Gap calculation, of which 4213 (56.1\%) are female, and 3296 (43.9\%) are male.

Table 1: Statutory Gender Pay Gap Data

|  |  | \#F | \#M | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GPG } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GPG } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| i. | Mean Hourly Gender Pay Gap | 4213 | 3296 | 22.01\% | $\downarrow$ | 23.08\% |
| ii. | Median Hourly Gender Pay Gap | 4213 | 3296 | 15.49\% | $\downarrow$ | 19.00\% |
| iii. | Mean Bonus Pay Gender Pay Gap | 89 | 71 | 79.71\% | $\uparrow$ | 76.36\% |
| iv. | Median Bonus Pay Gender Pay Gap | 89 | 71 | 83.42\% | $\downarrow$ | 87.57\% |
| v. Proportion of staff in receipt of Bonus Pay: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a. Proportion of Female staff in receipt of bonus pay |  |  |  | 2.1\% | $\uparrow$ | 1.32\% |
| b. Proportion of Male staff in receipt of bonus pay |  |  |  | 2.2\% | $\uparrow$ | 2.01\% |
| c. Proportion of staff in receipt of bonus pay who are Female |  |  |  | 55.6\% | $\uparrow$ | 45.3\% |
| d. Proportion of staff in receipt of bonus pay who are Male |  |  |  | 44.4\% | $\downarrow$ | 54.7\% |

Table 2: Mean and Median Hourly Income and Annual Bonus Income

|  |  | Hourly $\mathbf{£ £}$ <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ |  | Hourly $\mathbf{£ f}$ <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Mean Hourly Pay Rate | Male | $£ 23.25$ | $\uparrow$ | $£ 22.88$ |
|  | Female | $£ 18.14$ | $\uparrow$ | $£ 17.60$ |
| Median Hourly Pay Rate | Male | $£ 18.95$ | $\downarrow$ | $£ 18.99$ |
|  | Female | $£ 16.02$ | $\uparrow$ | $£ 15.38$ |


| Mean Annual Bonus Pay | Male | $£ 19,499$ | $\downarrow$ | $£ 23,261$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Female | $£ 3956$ | $\downarrow$ | $£ 5498$ |
| Median Annual Bonus Pay | Male | $£ 9048$ | $\downarrow$ | $£ 12,064$ |
|  | Female | $£ 1500$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | $£ 1500$ |

vi. Proportion of staff on quartile pay bands

The proportion of male and female full pay relevant employees in the lower, lower middle, upper middle and upper quartile pay bands:

Table 3: Quartile Population

|  | 2019 |  |  |  | 2018 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# Female | \# Male | F | M | \# Female | \# Male |
| Q1 Lower | 1303 | 575 | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ | 1275 | 524 |
| Q2 Lower Middle | 1207 | 670 | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ | 1158 | 642 |
| Q3 Upper Middle | 939 | 938 | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ | 872 | 927 |
| Q4 Upper | 764 | 1113 | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ | 710 | 1089 |

Table 4: \% Across Gender

|  | 2019 |  |  |  | 2018 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female <br> $\uparrow$ | Male <br> $\uparrow$ | F | M | Female <br> $\uparrow$ | Male <br> $\uparrow$ |
| Q1 Lower | $30.93 \%$ | $17.45 \%$ | $\downarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $31.76 \%$ | $16.47 \%$ |
| Q2 Lower Middle | $28.65 \%$ | $20.33 \%$ | $\downarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $28.84 \%$ | $20.18 \%$ |
| Q3 Upper Middle | $22.29 \%$ | $28.46 \%$ | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $21.72 \%$ | 29.13 |
| Q4 Upper | $18.13 \%$ | $33.77 \%$ | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $17.68 \%$ | $34.22 \%$ |

Table 5: \% Across Quartile

|  | 2019 |  |  |  | 2018 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female <br> $\leftrightarrow$ | Male <br> $\leftrightarrow$ | F | M | Female <br> $\leftrightarrow$ | Male <br> $\leftrightarrow$ |
| Q1 Lower | $69.38 \%$ | $30.62 \%$ | $\downarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $70.87 \%$ | $29.13 \%$ |
| Q2 Lower Middle | $64.31 \%$ | $35.69 \%$ | $\downarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $64.33 \%$ | $35.67 \%$ |
| Q3 Upper Middle | $50.03 \%$ | $49.07 \%$ | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $48.47 \%$ | $51.53 \%$ |
| Q4 Upper | $40.70 \%$ | $59.30 \%$ | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $39.47 \%$ | $60.54 \%$ |

We have also analysed the Gender Pay Gap and the hourly income levels for each of these quartiles：

Table 6：Hourly Income and Gender Pay Gaps by Quartiles

|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hourly } \\ \text { ££ } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hourly } \\ \text { ££ } \\ 2018 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GPG } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { GPG } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | Q1 Lower | Male | £10．23 | $£ 9.99$ | －0．61\％ | 0．06\％ |
|  |  | Female | £10．29 | $£ 9.98$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
|  | Q2 Lower Middle | Male | £15．54 | £15．28 | 1．67\％ | 2．26\％ |
|  |  | Female | £15．28 | £14．93 | $\downarrow$ | $\uparrow$ |
|  | Q3 Upper Middle | Male | £19．67 | £19．29 | 0．77\％ | 0．27\％ |
|  |  | Female | £19．52 | £19．24 | 个 | 个 |
|  | Q4 Upper | Male | £37．65 | £36．63 | 8．83\％ | 8．18\％ |
|  |  | Female | £34．32 | £33．63 | 个 | $\downarrow$ |


|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hourly } \\ \mathbf{f £} \\ 2019 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hourly } \\ \mathbf{£ £} \\ \mathbf{2 0 1 8} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { GPG } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { GPG } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Median | Q1 Lower | Male | £9．73 | £9．48 | 0．00\％ | 0．09\％ |
|  |  | Female | £9．73 | £9．47 | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
|  | Q2 Lower Middle | Male | £15．70 | £15．38 | 3．13\％ | 4．74\％ |
|  |  | Female | £15．21 | £14．66 | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
|  | Q3 Upper Middle | Male | £19．68 | £19．35 | 1．54\％ | 1．83\％ |
|  |  | Female | £19．37 | £18．99 | $\downarrow$ | 个 |
|  | Q4 Upper | Male | £32．92 | £32．52 | 8．47\％ | 9．89\％ |
|  |  | Female | £30．14 | £29．31 | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |

## 3．2．Understanding Bonus Pay

Bonus Pay is defined as any form of money，vouchers，securities，securities options，or interests received by an employee which is awarded as a result of profit sharing arrangements，productivity，performance，incentives or commission．

It includes all forms of bonus received in the 12 month period prior to the statutory snap shot date（ $1^{\text {st }}$ April 2018 to $31^{\text {st }}$ March 2019）．

The University has 3 categories of bonus which are included in this analysis：
1）A contractual bonus
2）An Exceptional Performance Award（EPA）
3）A Clinical Excellence Award（CEA）．

160 (up from 117) employees received a bonus payment between the $1^{\text {st }}$ April 2018 and the $31^{\text {st }}$ March 2019. 60.6\% (up from 48.7\%) were Exceptional Performance Awards, 34.4\% (down from $46.2 \%$ ) were Clinical Excellence Awards, and the remaining 5\% were contractual bonuses awarded to senior managers.

## - Exceptional Performance Awards

An Exceptional Performance Award is a one-off, non-consolidated payment of $£ 1500$ in recognition of exceptional performance that is not normally expected to be sustained for more than a year. An EPA is open to all members of staff and applications are made during the Annual Review process.

Following the March 2018 Pay Gap report it was agreed to take action to try and address some of the imbalances in the awarding of EPA's. During the autumn of 2018 Annual Review period, all line managers (as identified in the HR System CORE) were contacted to encourage them to consider EPA for members of their staff. This resulted in a $70 \%$ increase in the number of successful EPA's compared to the previous year.

Table 7 outlines who received the EPA in the November 2018 Annual Review (2019) compared to November 2017 (2018):

Table 7: Recipients of Exceptional Performance Awards

|  | 2019 |  |  |  |  | 2018 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female | Male | Total | \%F $\leftrightarrow$ | \%F $\downarrow$ | Female | Male | Total |
| CPS | 30 | 9 | 39 | 76.9 | 41.1 | 23 | 11 | 34 |
| FHLS | 26 | 4 | 30 | 86.7 | 35.6 | 10 | 2 | 12 |
| FHSS | 9 | 3 | 12 | 75 | 12.3 | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| FS\&E | 8 | 8 | 16 | 50 | 11.0 | 1 | 5 | 6 |
|  |  |  | 97 |  |  | 39 | 18 | 57 |


| Clerical | 28 | 5 | 33 | 84.9 | 38.4 | 14 | 5 | 19 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clinical | - | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Manual | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| PMSA | 27 | 10 | 37 | 73.0 | 37.0 | 20 | 7 | 27 |
| Research | 3 | - | 3 | 100 | 4.1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| T\&R | 2 | 5 | 7 | 28.6 | 2.7 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| T\&S | 2 | 2 | 4 | 50 | 2.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Technical | 11 | 1 | 12 | 91.7 | 15.1 | 4 | 2 | 6 |


| Full Time | 56 | 23 | 79 | 70.9 | 76.7 | 33 | 18 | 51 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Part Time | 17 | 1 | 18 | 94.4 | 23.3 | 6 | 0 | 6 |


| Fixed Term | 7 | 2 | 9 | 77.8 | 9.6 | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Permanent | 66 | 22 | 88 | 75 | 90.4 | 35 | 17 | 52 |


|  | 2019 |  |  |  |  | 2018 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female | Male | Total | $\% F \leftrightarrow$ | $\% F \mathbb{}$ | Female | Male | Total |


| Grade 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 4 | 10 | 1 | 11 | $90.9 \%$ | 13.7 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Grade 5 | 19 | 1 | 20 | $95 \%$ | 26.0 | 12 | 4 | 16 |
| Grade 6 | 13 | 5 | 18 | $72.2 \%$ | 17.8 | 7 | 2 | 9 |
| Grade 7 | 12 | 4 | 16 | $75 \%$ | 16.4 | 10 | 4 | 14 |
| Grade 8 | 14 | 2 | 16 | $87.5 \%$ | 19.2 | 4 | 3 | 7 |
| Grade 9 | 4 | 4 | 8 | $50 \%$ | 5.5 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| Grade 10 | 1 | 6 | 7 | $14.3 \%$ | 1.4 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Clinical | - | 1 | 1 | $100 \%$ | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 73 | 24 | 97 | $75.3 \%$ |  | 39 | 18 | 57 |

Of the 97 employees who received an EPA in 2018/2019, $75 \%$ were female. The majority of recipients were Clerical or PMSA staff, largely in Central Professional Services and the Faculty of Health \& Life Sciences. They were more likely to be on permanent contracts and work full time in grades 5 to 8. No Manual staff or staff on Grade 1-3 received an EPA in 2018/2019.

- Clinical Excellence Awards

The Clinical Excellence Awards (CEA) scheme is a NHS reward programme which is intended to recognise and reward consultants who contribute most towards the delivery of safe and high quality care to patients and to the continuous improvement of NHS services. This includes those consultants and senior academic GPs who do so through their contribution to academic medicine. CEA levels range from $£ 3,016$ at Level 1 to $£ 77,320$ at Level 12 (Platinum). Awards can be made for both local and national contributions to the NHS ${ }^{1}$.

Clinicians apply for the award through the relevant NHS processes however, the University administers the payment of the award through our payroll.

Legal advice provided by UCEA in January 2018 advised that CEA should be classed as a bonus payment by the University in the Statutory Gender Pay Gap calculations, although there has been some disagreement within the sector regarding whether these payments should be included by universities at all. Until further advice is received CEA's will be included in our figures.

The CEA poses a number of questions for the University on how it supports and develops clinical staff, in particular female clinical staff, to qualify and apply for these awards. Professor Sue Wray (Institute of Translational Medicine, and Director of Athena SWAN) has been leading on these internal discussions with the aim to address the gender pay in the future.

Not all clinical staff will be eligible for a CEA, only those that are consultants. The proportion of clinical staff in receipt of a CEA is:

[^0]Table 8: Recipients of Clinical Excellence Awards

|  |  | Clinical Staff | Received CEA | \% Received <br> CEA | \%F/M $\uparrow$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | 119 | 11 | $9.2 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
|  | 2018 | 109 | 12 | $11.0 \%$ | $21.8 \%$ |
|  | 2019 | 122 | 11 | $9.0 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| Male | 2017 | 145 | 44 | $30.4 \%$ | $80 \%$ |
|  | 2018 | 147 | 43 | $29.3 \%$ | $78.2 \%$ |
|  | 2019 | 135 | 44 | $32.6 \%$ | $80 \%$ |
| All | 2017 | 264 | 55 | $20.1 \%$ | - |
|  | 2018 | 256 | 55 | $21.5 \%$ | - |
|  | 2019 | 257 | 55 | $24.4 \%$ |  |

The CEAs range from $£ 3 \mathrm{~K}$ to $£ 77 \mathrm{~K}$ per year, and the mean and median annual value just of CEA staff are:

Table 9: Mean and Median Annual Clinical Excellence Awards Pay

|  | Mean Annual CEA Bonus Pay |  | Median Annual CEA Bonus Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $£ 33,346$ | $£ 18,805$ | $£ 35,832$ | $£ 11,944$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $£ 33,452$ | $£ 17,404$ | $£ 36,192$ | $£ 12,064$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $£ 30,264$ | $£ 16,773$ | $£ 36,192$ | $£ 9,048$ |

The CEA are considerable sums which have a significant impact on the University's Bonus Pay Gaps. The pay gaps just for those receiving a CEA is:

Table 10: Bonus Pay Gaps for those in Receipt of CEA Only

|  | \# Female | \# Male | i. <br> Mean Bonus <br> Pay Gender <br> Pay Gap | ii. <br> Median Bonus <br> Pay Gender Pay <br> Gap |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | 11 | 44 | $43.6 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 12 | 43 | $48.0 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ |


| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 11 | 44 | $44.6 \%$ | $75 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

However, if we omit the CEA from the Bonus calculation, the pay gaps would be:
Table 11: Bonus Pay Gaps (Excluding CEA)

|  | \# Female | \# Male | i. <br> Mean Bonus <br> Pay Gender <br> Pay Gap | ii. <br> Median Bonus <br> Pay Gender Pay <br> Gap |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | 37 | 17 | $-5.52 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 41 | 21 | $15.93 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 77 | 27 | $-9.32 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |

### 3.3. Gender Pay Gap - Excluding Casual Staff

In order to help us understand the causes of the Gender Pay Gap, we have completed an additional assessment which has excluded 1107 Casual Posts from the assessment paid in March 2019. This assists in pinpointing more precisely areas that may be disproportionately adding to the Gender Pay Gap.

Of the remaining 6402 staff, 3515 (54.9\%) were female and 2887 ( $45.1 \%$ ) were male:
Table 12: Gender Pay Gap (Excluding Casuals)

|  |  | \#F | \#M | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GPG } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GPG } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| i. | Mean Hourly Gender Pay Gap | 3515 | 2887 | 21.34 | $\downarrow$ | 22.16\% |
| ii. | Median Hourly Gender Pay Gap | 3515 | 2887 | 15.73 | $\downarrow$ | 16.18\% |
| iii. | Mean Bonus Pay Gender Pay Gap | 89 | 71 | 79.71 | 个 | 76.36\% |
| iv. | Median Bonus Pay Gender Pay Gap | 89 | 71 | 83.42 | $\downarrow$ | 87.57\% |
| v. Proportion of staff in receipt of Bonus Pay: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a. Proportion of Female staff in receipt of bonus pay |  |  |  | 2.5\% | $\uparrow$ | 1.6\% |
| b. Proportion of Male staff in receipt of bonus pay |  |  |  | 2.5\% | $\uparrow$ | 2.2\% |
| c. Proportion of staff in receipt of bonus pay who are Female |  |  |  | 55.6\% | $\uparrow$ | 45.3\% |
| d. Proportion of staff in receipt of bonus pay who are Male |  |  |  | 44.4\% | $\downarrow$ | 54.7\% |

Excluding Casual staff only marginally improves the Mean Pay Gap reducing it from 22.01\% to $21.34 \%$; however, removing the casuals has resulted in a slight increase in the Median Pay Gap from $15.49 \%$ to $15.73 \%$.

## vi. Proportion of staff on quartile pay bands

Removing the Casual staff results in a lower proportion of female staff being in Quarter 2 and Quarter 4 with a corresponding increase in the proportion of men in those areas:

Table 13: Quartile Population (Excluding Casuals)

|  | 2019 |  |  |  | 2018 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# Female | \# Male | F | M | \# Female | \# Male |
| Q1 Lower | 1113 | 487 | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ | 1102 | 461 |
| Q2 Lower Middle | 992 | 609 | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ | 948 | 615 |
| Q3 Upper Middle | 775 | 825 | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ | 753 | 810 |
| Q4 Upper | 635 | 966 | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ | 596 | 967 |

Table 14: \% Across Gender

|  | 2019 |  |  |  | 2018 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female <br> $\downarrow$ | Male <br> $\downarrow$ | F | M | Female <br> $\downarrow$ | Male <br> $\downarrow$ |
| Q1 Lower | $31.66 \%$ | $16.87 \%$ | $\downarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $32.42 \%$ | $16.16 \%$ |
| Q2 Lower Middle | $28.22 \%$ | $21.10 \%$ | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $27.89 \%$ | $21.56 \%$ |
| Q3 Upper Middle | $22.05 \%$ | $28.58 \%$ | $\downarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $22.15 \%$ | $28.39 \%$ |
| Q4 Upper | $18.07 \%$ | $33.46 \%$ | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $17.54 \%$ | $33.89 \%$ |

Table 15: \% Across Quartile (54\% of All Staff are Female)

|  | 2019 |  |  |  | 2018 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female <br> $\leftrightarrow$ | Male <br> $\leftrightarrow$ | F | M | Female <br> $\leftrightarrow$ | Male <br> $\leftrightarrow$ |
| Q1 Lower | $69.56 \%$ | $30.44 \%$ | $\downarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $70.51 \%$ | $29.49 \%$ |
| Q2 Lower Middle | $61.96 \%$ | $38.04 \%$ | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $60.65 \%$ | $39.35 \%$ |
| Q3 Upper Middle | $48.44 \%$ | $51.56 \%$ | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $48.18 \%$ | $51.82 \%$ |
| Q4 Upper | $39.66 \%$ | $60.34 \%$ | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $36.40 \%$ | $63.60 \%$ |

We have also analysed the Gender Pay Gap and the hourly income levels for each of these quartiles:

Table 16: Hourly Income and Gender Pay Gaps by Quartiles

|  |  |  | Hourly $£ £$ 2019 | GPG 2019 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | Q1 Lower | Male | £11.45 | -0.69\% |
|  |  | Female | £11.53 |  |
|  | Q2 Lower Middle | Male | £16.57 | 1.88 |
|  |  | Female | £16.26 |  |
|  | Q3 Upper Middle | Male | £20.89 | 0.51 |
|  |  | Female | £20.78 |  |
|  | Q4 Upper | Male | £39.48 | 8.38 |
|  |  | Female | £36.17 |  |



## 4. Ethnicity Pay Gap

For the purposes of this report the term BAME refers to all ethnic groups excluding White British. The term 'People of Colour' (PoC) refers to all ethnic groups with the exception of the 'White' category which includes White British, White Irish, White Other, and Gypsy/Traveller.

A positive black number, means that there is a pay gap in favour of the White British group, where a negative red number means that there is a pay gap in favour of the BAME group.

302 full pay relevant employees had an Unknown/Decline ethnicity and are therefore excluded from the calculation.

### 4.1. BAME Pay Gap

## White British v BAME

Of the 7207 full pay relevant employees included in the calculation, $26.03 \%$ were BAME and 73.97\% were White British:

Table 17: BAME Pay Gap

|  |  | \#BAME | \#White British | $\begin{gathered} \text { EPG } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EPG } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| i. | Mean Hourly Ethnicity Pay Gap | 1876 | 5331 | -12.40 | $\downarrow$ | -15.46 |
| ii. | Median Hourly Ethnicity Pay Gap | 1876 | 5331 | -12.34 | $\downarrow$ | -13.22 |
| iii. | Mean Bonus Pay Ethnicity Pay Gap | 28 | 130 | -136.65 | $\uparrow$ | -123.32 |
| iv. | Median Bonus Pay Ethnicity Pay Gap | 28 | 130 | -442.88 | $\downarrow$ | -704.26 |
| v. Proportion of staff in receipt of Bonus Pay: | Proportion of staff in receipt of Bonus Pay: |  |  |  |  |  |
| a. Proportion of BAME staff in receipt of bonus pay |  |  |  | 1.49\% | $\uparrow$ | 1.34\% |
| b. Proportion of White British staff in receipt of bonus pay |  |  |  | 2.45\% | $\uparrow$ | 1.79\% |
| c. Proportion of staff in receipt of bonus pay who are BAME |  |  |  | 17.72\% | $\downarrow$ | 19.66\% |
| d. Proportion of staff in receipt of bonus pay who are White British |  |  |  | 82.28\% | $\uparrow$ | 80.34\% |

## vi. Proportion of staff on quartile pay bands

Table 18: Quartile Population

|  | 2019 |  |  |  | 2018 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# BAME | \# White <br> British | BAME | White | \# BAME | \# White <br> British |


| Q1 Lower | 337 | 1465 | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ | 284 | 1459 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q2 Lower Middle | 334 | 1468 | $\downarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | 321 | 1422 |
| Q3 Upper Middle | 639 | 1163 | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ | 567 | 1176 |
| Q4 Upper | 566 | 1235 | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 560 | 1182 |

Table 19: \% Across Ethnic Groups

|  | 2019 |  |  |  | 2018 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BAME <br> $\uparrow$ | White <br> $\uparrow$ | BAME | White | BAME <br> $\uparrow$ | White <br> $\uparrow$ |
| Q1 Lower | $17.96 \%$ | $27.48 \%$ | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $16.40 \%$ | $27.85 \%$ |
| Q2 Lower Middle | $17.80 \%$ | $27.54 \%$ | $\downarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $18.53 \%$ | $27.14 \%$ |
| Q3 Upper Middle | $34.06 \%$ | $21.82 \%$ | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $32.74 \%$ | $22.45 \%$ |
| Q4 Upper | $30.17 \%$ | $23.17 \%$ | $\downarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $32.33 \%$ | $22.56 \%$ |

Table 20: \% Across Quartile (25\% of All Staff are BAME)

|  | 2019 |  |  |  | 2018 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BAME <br> $\leftrightarrow$ | White <br> $\leftrightarrow$ | BAME | White | BAME <br> $\leftrightarrow$ | White <br> $\leftrightarrow$ |
| Q1 Lower | $18.70 \%$ | $81.30 \%$ | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $16.29 \%$ | $83.71 \%$ |
| Q2 Lower Middle | $18.54 \%$ | $81.46 \%$ | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $18.42 \%$ | $81.58 \%$ |
| Q3 Upper Middle | $35.46 \%$ | $64.54 \%$ | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $32.53 \%$ | $67.47 \%$ |
| Q4 Upper | $31.43 \%$ | $68.57 \%$ | $\downarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $32.15 \%$ | $67.85 \%$ |

The reduction in the Mean and Median Ethnicity Pay Gaps in favour of BAME employees appears to be the result of an increase in the proportion of BAME employees in Quartiles 1 and a drop in Quartile 4.

However, the overall cause of the pay gap in favour of BAME employees continues to be the relatively high proportions of BAME employees in Quartiles 3 and 4;10 and 6 percentage points above the population average respectively.

The cause of this is the higher proportion of BAME employees occupying Research (20\%) and Teaching \& Research (27\%) posts; compared to less than $2 \%$ of Manual posts.

Table 21: Number by Job Group and \% Across and with Ethnicity Category

|  | 2019 |  |  |  |  |  | 2018 |  |  |  |  |  | 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BAME | White |  | \% White $\downarrow$ |  | \% <br> White <br> $\leftrightarrow$ | BAME | White | $\%$ <br> BAME <br>  | \% <br> White <br> $\downarrow$ |  | \% White $\leftrightarrow$ | BAME | White | \% PoC $\downarrow$ | \% <br> White <br> $\downarrow$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { PoC } \\ \leftrightarrow \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Casual | 232 | 745 | 12.37 | 13.98 | 23.75 | 76.25 | 270 | 625 | 15.59 | 11.93 | 30.17 | 69.83 | 51 | 108 | 3.54 | 2.32 | 32.08 | 67.92 |
| Clerical | 121 | 963 | 6.45 | 18.06 | 11.16 | 88.84 | 97 | 961 | 5.60 | 18.34 | 9.17 | 90.83 | 94 | 916 | 6.52 | 19.70 | 9.31 | 90.69 |
| Clinical | 69 | 186 | 3.68 | 3.49 | 27.06 | 72.94 | 69 | 178 | 3.98 | 3.40 | 27.94 | 72.06 | 69 | 194 | 4.79 | 4.17 | 26.24 | 73.76 |
| Manual | 36 | 397 | 1.92 | 7.45 | 8.31 | 91.69 | 36 | 421 | 2.08 | 8.04 | 7.88 | 92.12 | 35 | 473 | 2.43 | 10.17 | 6.89 | 93.11 |
| PMSA | 138 | 919 | 7.36 | 17.24 | 13.06 | 86.94 | 127 | 889 | 7.33 | 16.97 | 12.50 | 87.50 | 111 | 812 | 7.70 | 17.46 | 12.03 | 87.97 |
| Research | 380 | 437 | 20.26 | 8.20 | 46.51 | 53.49 | 362 | 431 | 20.90 | 8.23 | 45.65 | 54.35 | 346 | 429 | 23.99 | 9.23 | 44.65 | 55.35 |
| T\&R | 504 | 563 | 26.87 | 18.76 | 47.24 | 52.76 | 491 | 587 | 28.35 | 11.20 | 45.55 | 54.45 | 462 | 608 | 32.04 | 13.08 | 43.18 | 56.82 |
| T\&S | 235 | 533 | 12.53 | 10.00 | 30.60 | 69.40 | 221 | 543 | 12.76 | 10.37 | 28.93 | 71.07 | 216 | 502 | 14.98 | 10.80 | 30.08 | 69.92 |
| Technical | 69 | 581 | 3.68 | 10.90 | 10.62 | 89.38 | 59 | 600 | 3.41 | 11.45 | 8.95 | 91.05 | 58 | 601 | 4.02 | 12.92 | 8.80 | 91.2 |
| AnT\&R | 1 | 7 | 0.05 | 0.13 | 12.50 | 87.50 | 0 | 7 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 100 | 0 | 7 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 100 |

Figure 1: BAME Population Trend by Job Group


Table 22: Excluding Casuals: Number by Job Group and \% with Ethnicity Category

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \& \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{2019} \& \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{2018} \& \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{2017} \\
\hline \& bAME \& White \& \begin{tabular}{c} 
\% \\
BAME \\
\multirow{10}{}{}
\end{tabular} \& \% White ฟ \&  \& \% White \(\leftrightarrow\) \& BAME \& White \& \%
BAME

$\downarrow$ \& | \% |
| :--- |
| White |
| $\downarrow$ | \&  \& \% White $\leftrightarrow$ \& bAME \& White \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\% \\
\text { PoC } \\
\uparrow
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& \% White $\downarrow$ \& | \% |
| :--- |
| PoC |
| $\leftrightarrow$ | \& | \% |
| :--- |
| White |
| $\leftrightarrow$ | <br>

\hline Clerical \& 121 \& 963 \& 7.36 \& 21.00 \& 11.16 \& 88.84 \& 97 \& 961 \& 6.63 \& 20.81 \& 9.17 \& 90.83 \& 94 \& 916 \& 2.07 \& 15.44 \& 9.31 \& 90.69 <br>
\hline Clinical \& 69 \& 186 \& 4.20 \& 4.06 \& 27.06 \& 72.94 \& 69 \& 178 \& 4.72 \& 3.86 \& 27.94 \& 72.06 \& 69 \& 194 \& 1.52 \& 3.27 \& 26.24 \& 73.76 <br>
\hline Manual \& 36 \& 397 \& 2.19 \& 8.66 \& 8.31 \& 91.69 \& 36 \& 421 \& 2.46 \& 9.12 \& 7.88 \& 92.12 \& 35 \& 473 \& 0.77 \& 7.97 \& 6.89 \& 93.11 <br>
\hline PMSA \& 138 \& 919 \& 8.39 \& 20.04 \& 13.06 \& 86.94 \& 127 \& 889 \& 8.69 \& 19.25 \& 12.50 \& 87.50 \& 111 \& 812 \& 2.44 \& 13.69 \& 12.03 \& 87.97 <br>
\hline Research \& 380 \& 437 \& 23.11 \& 9.53 \& 46.51 \& 53.49 \& 362 \& 431 \& 24.76 \& 9.34 \& 45.65 \& 54.35 \& 346 \& 429 \& 7.62 \& 7.23 \& 44.65 \& 55.35 <br>
\hline T\&R \& 504 \& 563 \& 30.66 \& 12.28 \& 47.24 \& 52.76 \& 491 \& 587 \& 33.58 \& 12.71 \& 45.55 \& 54.45 \& 462 \& 608 \& 10.17 \& 10.25 \& 43.18 \& 56.82 <br>
\hline T\&S \& 235 \& 533 \& 14.29 \& 11.62 \& 30.60 \& 69.40 \& 221 \& 543 \& 15.12 \& 11.76 \& 28.93 \& 71.07 \& 216 \& 502 \& 4.76 \& 8.46 \& 30.08 \& 69.92 <br>
\hline Technical \& 69 \& 581 \& 4.20 \& 12.67 \& 10.62 \& 89.38 \& 59 \& 600 \& 4.04 \& 13.00 \& 8.95 \& 91.05 \& 58 \& 601 \& 1.28 \& 10.13 \& 8.80 \& 91.2 <br>
\hline AnT\&R \& 1 \& 7 \& 0.06 \& 0.15 \& 12.50 \& 87.50 \& 0 \& 7 \& 0.00 \& 0.15 \& 0.00 \& 100 \& 0 \& 7 \& 0.00 \& 0.12 \& 0.00 \& 100 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

Figure 2: BAME Population Trend (Excluding Casual) by Job Group


### 4.2. People of Colour Pay Gap

A positive black number means that there is a pay gap in favour of the White group, whereas a negative red number means that there is a pay gap in favour of the People of Colour group. The White group includes White British, White Irish, White Other, and Gypsy/Traveller. The People of Colour group includes Black, Asian, Mixed, and Other Minority Ethnic groups.

Of the 7207 full pay relevant employees included in the calculation, $12 \%$ PoC and $88 \%$ were White:

Table 23: Statutory People of Colour Pay Gap Data

|  |  | \#PoC | \#White | $\begin{gathered} \text { PoCPG } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { PoCPG } \\ 2018 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| i. | Mean Hourly PoC Pay Gap | 865 | 6342 | 4.20 | $\uparrow$ | -3.23 |
| ii. | Median Hourly PoC Pay Gap | 865 | 6342 | -0.53 | $\downarrow$ | -6.03 |
| iii. | Mean Bonus Pay PoC Pay Gap | 12 | 146 | -120.56 | $\uparrow$ | -94.48 |
| iv. | Median Bonus Pay PoC Pay Gap | 12 | 146 | -503.20 | $\leftrightarrow$ | -503.20 |
| v. Proportion of staff in receipt of Bonus Pay: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a. Proportion of PoC staff in receipt of bonus pay |  |  |  | 1.39\% | $\downarrow$ | 1.44\% |
| b. Proportion of White staff in receipt of bonus pay |  |  |  | 2.30\% | $\uparrow$ | 1.71\% |
| c. Proportion of staff in receipt of bonus pay who are PoC |  |  |  | 7.60\% | $\downarrow$ | 9.40\% |
| d. Proportion of staff in receipt of bonus pay who are White |  |  |  | 92.40\% | $\uparrow$ | 90.60\% |

Table 24: Mean and Median Hourly Income and Annual Bonus Income

|  |  | $\mathbf{f f}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ |  |  |$)$


|  | PoC | $£ 9048$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | $£ 9048$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

## vi. Proportion of staff on quartile pay bands

The proportion of PoC and White full pay relevant employees in the lower, lower middle, upper middle and upper quartile pay bands:

Table 25: Quartile Population

|  | 2019 |  |  |  | 2018 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# PoC | \# White | PoC | White | \# PoC | \# White |
| Q1 Lower | 237 | 1565 | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ | 198 | 1545 |
| Q2 Lower Middle | 161 | 1641 | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ | 146 | 1597 |
| Q3 Upper Middle | 285 | 1517 | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ | 246 | 1497 |
| Q4 Upper | 182 | 1619 | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 175 | 1567 |

Table 26: \% Across Ethnic Group

|  | 2019 |  |  |  | 2018 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PoC <br> $\uparrow$ | White <br> $\uparrow$ | PoC | White | PoC <br> $\uparrow$ | White <br> $\uparrow$ |
| Q1 Lower | $27.40 \%$ | $24.68 \%$ | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $25.88 \%$ | $24.90 \%$ |
| Q2 Lower Middle | $18.61 \%$ | $25.88 \%$ | $\downarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $19.09 \%$ | $25.73 \%$ |
| Q3 Upper Middle | $32.95 \%$ | $23.92 \%$ | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $32.16 \%$ | $24.12 \%$ |
| Q4 Upper | $21.04 \%$ | $25.53 \%$ | $\downarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $22.88 \%$ | $25.25 \%$ |

Table 27:\% Across Quartile (11\% of Staff are PoC)

|  | 2019 |  |  |  | 2018 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PoC <br> $\leftrightarrow$ | White <br> $\leftrightarrow$ | PoC | White | PoC <br> $\leftrightarrow$ | White <br> $\leftrightarrow$ |
| Q1 Lower | $13.15 \%$ | $86.85 \%$ | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $11.36 \%$ | $88.64 \%$ |
| Q2 Lower Middle | $8.94 \%$ | $91.06 \%$ | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $8.38 \%$ | $91.62 \%$ |
| Q3 Upper Middle | $15.82 \%$ | $84.18 \%$ | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $14.11 \%$ | $85.89 \%$ |
| Q4 Upper | $10.12 \%$ | $89.88 \%$ | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $10.05 \%$ | $89.95 \%$ |

Although there has been an increase in the number of People of Colour on all Quartile Bands, the simultaneous increase in White employees has resulted in a 7 point swing in the

Mean Pay Gap in favour of PoC to White, and a 5.5 point decrease in the Median pay gap. In particular, the proportion of PoC increased more in Quartile 1 bringing down the median point. A far larger proportion of PoC are Casual staff compared to the White population group.

The Bonus Pay Gap continues to be significantly in favour of PoC because of the higher proportion of PoC employees who receive a Clinical Excellence Award bonus; 9 out of 12 PoC ( $75 \%$ ) compared to 46 out of 146 White (31.5\%).

Table 28: Number by Job Group and \% Across and with Ethnicity Category

|  | 2019 |  |  |  |  |  | 2018 |  |  |  |  |  | 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PoC | White | $\%$ PoC $\uparrow$ $\downarrow$ | \% <br> White <br> $\downarrow$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \%PoC } \\ \leftrightarrow \end{gathered}$ | \% <br> White <br> $\leftrightarrow$ | PoC | White | $\%$ PoC $\downarrow$ | \% <br> White <br> $\downarrow$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \%PoC } \\ \leftrightarrow \end{gathered}$ | \% <br> White <br> $\leftrightarrow$ | PoC | White | \% PoC $\downarrow$ | \% <br> White <br> $\downarrow$ | \% <br> PoC $\leftrightarrow$ | \% <br> White <br> $\leftrightarrow$ |
| Casual | 232 | 836 | 26.82 | 13.18 | 21.72 | 78.28 | 199 | 696 | 26.01 | 11.21 | 22.23 | 77.77 | 38 | 121 | 6.76 | 2.19 | 23.90 | 76.10 |
| Clerical | 82 | 1002 | 9.48 | 15.80 | 7.57 | 92.43 | 64 | 994 | 8.37 | 16.02 | 6.05 | 93.95 | 60 | 950 | 10.68 | 17.18 | 5.94 | 94.06 |
| Clinical | 39 | 216 | 4.51 | 3.41 | 15.29 | 84.71 | 40 | 207 | 5.23 | 3.34 | 16.19 | 83.81 | 39 | 224 | 6.94 | 4.05 | 14.83 | 85.17 |
| Manual | 18 | 415 | 2.08 | 6.54 | 7.73 | 92.27 | 19 | 438 | 2.48 | 7.06 | 4.16 | 95.84 | 21 | 487 | 3.74 | 8.81 | 4.13 | 95.87 |
| PMSA | 67 | 990 | 7.75 | 15.61 | 6.34 | 93.66 | 62 | 951 | 8.10 | 15.32 | 6.12 | 93.88 | 51 | 872 | 9.07 | 15.77 | 5.53 | 94.47 |
| Research | 178 | 639 | 20.58 | 10.18 | 21.79 | 78.21 | 143 | 650 | 18.69 | 10.47 | 18.03 | 81.97 | 130 | 645 | 23.13 | 11.66 | 16.77 | 83.23 |
| T\&R | 150 | 917 | 17.34 | 14.46 | 14.09 | 85.91 | 145 | 933 | 18.95 | 15.03 | 13.45 | 86.55 | 129 | 941 | 22.95 | 17.02 | 12.06 | 87.94 |
| T\&S | 68 | 700 | 7.86 | 11.04 | 8.85 | 91.15 | 71 | 693 | 9.28 | 11.17 | 9.29 | 90.71 | 69 | 649 | 12.28 | 11.74 | 9.61 | 90.39 |
| Technical | 30 | 620 | 3.47 | 9.78 | 4.62 | 95.38 | 22 | 637 | 2.88 | 10.26 | 3.34 | 96.66 | 25 | 634 | 4.45 | 11.46 | 3.79 | 96.21 |
| AnT\&R | 1 | 7 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 12.5 | 87.5 | 0 | 7 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0 | 7 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 100.00 |

Figure 3: PoC Population Trend by Job Group


Table 29: Excluding Casuals: Number by Job Group and \% with Ethnicity Category

|  | 2019 |  |  |  |  |  | 2018 |  |  |  |  |  | 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PoC | White | $\%$ PoC $\downarrow$ | \% <br> White | $\stackrel{\text { \%PoC }}{\leftrightarrow}$ | \% <br> White <br> $\leftrightarrow$ | PoC | White | $\%$ PoC $\downarrow$ | \% <br> White <br> § | $\stackrel{\text { \%PoC }}{\leftrightarrow}$ | \% <br> White <br> $\leftrightarrow$ | PoC | White | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { PoC } \\ \uparrow \end{gathered}$ | \% <br> White | \% <br> PoC $\leftrightarrow$ | \% <br> White <br> $\leftrightarrow$ |
| Clerical | 82 | 1002 | 12.95 | 18.20 | 7.57 | 92.43 | 64 | 994 | 11.31 | 18.04 | 22.23 | 77.77 | 60 | 950 | 11.45 | 17.56 | 23.90 | 76.10 |
| Clinical | 39 | 216 | 6.16 | 3.92 | 15.29 | 84.71 | 40 | 207 | 7.07 | 3.76 | 6.05 | 93.95 | 39 | 224 | 7.44 | 4.14 | 5.94 | 94.06 |
| Manual | 18 | 415 | 2.84 | 7.54 | 7.73 | 92.27 | 19 | 438 | 3.36 | 7.95 | 16.19 | 83.81 | 21 | 487 | 4.01 | 9.00 | 14.83 | 85.17 |
| PMSA | 67 | 990 | 10.59 | 17.98 | 6.34 | 93.66 | 62 | 951 | 10.95 | 17.26 | 4.16 | 95.84 | 51 | 872 | 9.73 | 16.12 | 4.13 | 95.87 |
| Research | 178 | 639 | 28.12 | 11.61 | 21.79 | 78.21 | 143 | 650 | 25.27 | 11.80 | 6.12 | 93.88 | 130 | 645 | 24.81 | 11.93 | 5.53 | 94.47 |
| T\&R | 150 | 917 | 23.70 | 16.66 | 14.09 | 85.91 | 145 | 933 | 25.62 | 16.93 | 18.03 | 81.97 | 129 | 941 | 24.62 | 17.40 | 16.77 | 83.23 |
| T\&S | 68 | 700 | 10.74 | 12.71 | 8.85 | 91.15 | 71 | 693 | 12.54 | 12.58 | 13.45 | 86.55 | 69 | 649 | 13.17 | 12.00 | 12.06 | 87.94 |
| Technical | 30 | 620 | 4.74 | 11.26 | 4.62 | 95.38 | 22 | 637 | 3.89 | 11.56 | 9.29 | 90.71 | 25 | 634 | 4.77 | 11.72 | 9.61 | 90.39 |
| AnT\&R | 1 | 7 | 0.16 | 0.13 | 12.5 | 87.5 | 0 | 7 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 3.34 | 96.66 | 0 | 7 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 3.79 | 96.21 |

Figure 4: PoC Population Trend (Excluding Casual) by Job Group
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## 5. Disability Pay Gap

A positive black number, means that there is a pay gap in favour of the No known disability groups, whereas a negative red number means that there is a pay gap in favour of the Known disability group.

7545 Full Pay Relevant Employees are included in the Statutory Disability Pay Gap calculation, of which 372 (4.93\%) were known to have a disability, and 7173 (95.07\%) had no known disability. This represents an increase from 331 known disabled staff in 2018 or 4.60\%.

Table 30: Statutory Disability Pay Gap Data

|  |  | \#Dis | \#No <br> Dis | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DPG } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DPG } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DPG } \\ & 2017 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| i. | Mean Hourly Disability Pay Gap | 372 | 7173 | 11.28\% | $\downarrow$ | 11.61\% | 9.90\% |
| ii. | Median Hourly Disability Pay Gap | 372 | 7173 | 9.59\% | $\downarrow$ | 11.10\% | 10.63\% |
| iii. | Mean Bonus Pay Disability Pay Gap | 8 | 152 | 85.11\% | $\downarrow$ | 90.37\% |  |
|  | Median Bonus Pay Disability Pay Gap | 8 | 152 | 0.00\% | $\downarrow$ | 50.26\% |  |
| v. Proportion of staff in receipt of Bonus Pay: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a. Proportion of disabled staff in receipt of bonus pay |  |  |  | 2.15\% | $\uparrow$ | 0.91\% | 0.00\% |
| b. Proportion of non-disabled staff in receipt of bonus pay |  |  |  | 2.12\% | 个 | 1.66\% | 1.67\% |
| c. Proportion of staff in receipt of bonus pay who are known to have a disability |  |  |  | 5\% | $\uparrow$ | 2.56\% | 0.00\% |
| d. Proportion of staff in receipt of bonus pay who have no known disability |  |  |  | 95\% | $\downarrow$ | 97.44\% | 100\% |

Table 31: Mean and Median Hourly Income and Annual Bonus Income

|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ££ } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { £f } \\ 2018 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean Hourly Pay Rate | No Known Disability | £20.50 | $\uparrow$ | £20.04 |
|  | Known Disability | £18.18 | $\uparrow$ | £17.72 |
| Median Hourly Pay Rate | No Known Disability | £17.60 | $\uparrow$ | £17.26 |
|  | Known Disability | £15.91 | $\uparrow$ | £15.34 |
| Mean Annual Bonus Pay | No Known Disability | £11,336 | $\downarrow$ | £15,576 |
|  | Known Disability | £1688 | $\uparrow$ | £1500 |


| Median Annual Bonus Pay | No Known Disability | $£ 1500$ | $\downarrow$ | $£ 3016$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Known Disability | $£ 1500$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | $£ 1500$ |

## vi. Proportion of staff on quartile pay bands

The proportion of disabled and non-disabled full pay relevant employees in the lower, lower middle, upper middle and upper quartile pay bands:

Table 32: Quartile Population

|  | 2019 |  |  |  | 2018 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \#Dis | \#Non <br> Dis | \#Dis | \#Non <br> Dis | \#Dis | \#Non <br> Dis |
| Q1 Lower | 114 | 1764 | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ | 100 | 1699 |
| Q2 Lower Middle | 106 | 1771 | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ | 99 | 1701 |
| Q3 Upper Middle | 79 | 1798 | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ | 73 | 1726 |
| Q4 Upper | 73 | 1804 | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ | 59 | 1740 |

Table 33:\% Across Disability Status

|  | 2019 |  |  |  | 2018 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Disabled <br> $\uparrow$ | Non Dis <br> $\uparrow$ | \#Dis | \#Non <br> Dis | Disabled <br> $\uparrow$ | Non Dis <br> $\uparrow$ |
| Q1 Lower | $30.65 \%$ | $69.35 \%$ | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $30.21 \%$ | $24.75 \%$ |
| Q2 Lower Middle | $28.50 \%$ | $71.50 \%$ | $\downarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $29.91 \%$ | $24.77 \%$ |
| Q3 Upper Middle | $21.24 \%$ | $78.76 \%$ | $\downarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $22.05 \%$ | $25.14 \%$ |
| Q4 Upper | $19.62 \%$ | $80.38 \%$ | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $17.83 \%$ | $25.34 \%$ |

Table 34: \% Across Quartile (4.6\% of Staff are Disabled)

|  | 2019 |  |  |  | 2018 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Disabled <br> $\leftrightarrow$ | Non Dis <br> $\leftrightarrow$ | \#Dis | \#Non <br> Dis | Disabled <br> $\leftrightarrow$ | Non Dis <br> $\leftrightarrow$ |
| Q1 Lower | $6.07 \%$ | $93.93 \%$ | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $5.56 \%$ | $94.44 \%$ |
| Q2 Lower Middle | $5.65 \%$ | $64.35 \%$ | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $5.50 \%$ | $94.50 \%$ |
| Q3 Upper Middle | $4.21 \%$ | $95.79 \%$ | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $4.06 \%$ | $95.94 \%$ |
| Q4 Upper | $3.89 \%$ | $96.11 \%$ | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $3.28 \%$ | $96.72 \%$ |

## 6. Sexual Orientation Pay Gap

A positive black number, means that there is a pay gap in favour of the
Heterosexual/Straight groups, whereas a negative red number means that there is a pay gap in favour of the Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual and Other group.

4956 Full Pay Relevant Employees are included in the Statutory Sexual Orientation Pay Gap calculation, of which 266 (5.37\%) where known to be lesbian, gay, bisexual or other identify, and 4690 ( $94.63 \%$ ) identified as heterosexual. The other 2553 FPRE have not provided information about sexual orientation and have therefore been excluded from this analysis.

Table 35: Statutory Sexuality Pay Gap Data

|  |  | \#LGB+ | \#Hetro | $\begin{gathered} \text { SOPG } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SOPG } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SOPG } \\ 2017 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean Hourly Sexuality Pay Gap | 266 | 4690 | 2.11\% | $\uparrow$ | -0.20\% | 3.45\% |
|  | Median Hourly Sexuality Pay Gap | 266 | 4690 | 2.89\% | $\uparrow$ | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
|  | Mean Bonus Pay Sexuality Pay Gap | 1 | 92 | 80.67\% | $\downarrow$ | 87.28\% | 89.26\% |
|  | Median Bonus Pay Sexuality Pay Gap | 1 | 92 | 0.00\% | $\leftrightarrow$ | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| v. Proportion of staff in receipt of Bonus Pay: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a. Proportion of LGB+ staff in receipt of bonus pay |  |  |  | 0.38\% | $\downarrow$ | 1.41\% | 2.04\% |
| b. Proportion of Heterosexual staff in receipt of bonus pay |  |  |  | 1.96\% | $\downarrow$ | 1.45\% | 1.58\% |
| c. Proportion of staff in receipt of bonus pay who are LGB + |  |  |  | 1.07\% | $\downarrow$ | 4.55\% | 5.26\% |
| d. Proportion of staff in receipt of bonus pay who are Heterosexual |  |  |  | 98.93\% | $\uparrow$ | 95.45\% | 94.74\% |

Table 36: Mean and Median Hourly Income and Annual Bonus Income

|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ££ } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ££ } \\ 2018 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean Hourly Pay Rate | Heterosexual | £19.09 | $\uparrow$ | £18.57 |
|  | LGB+ | £18.69 | $\uparrow$ | £18.61 |
| Median Hourly Pay Rate | Heterosexual | £17.22 | $\uparrow$ | £16.88 |
|  | LGB+ | £16.72 | $\downarrow$ | £16.88 |
| Mean Annual Bonus Pay | Heterosexual | £7760 | $\downarrow$ | £11,790 |
|  | LGB+ | £1500 | $\leftrightarrow$ | £1500 |


| Median Annual Bonus Pay | Heterosexual | $£ 1500$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | $£ 1500$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | LGB+ | $£ 1500$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | $£ 1500$ |

## vi. Proportion of staff on quartile pay bands

The proportion of LGB+ and heterosexual full pay relevant employees in the lower, lower middle, upper middle and upper quartile pay bands:

Table 37: Quartile Population

|  | 2019 |  |  |  | 2018 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \#LGB+ | \#Hetero | LGB+ | Hetero | \#LGB+ | \#Hetero |
| Q1 Lower | 88 | 1151 | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ | 60 | 1080 |
| Q2 Lower Middle | 51 | 1188 | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ | 44 | 1096 |
| Q3 Upper Middle | 71 | 1168 | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ | 57 | 1083 |
| Q4 Upper | 56 | 1183 | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ | 52 | 1089 |

Table 38: \% Across Sexuality

|  | 2019 |  |  |  | 2018 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LGB+ <br> $\uparrow$ | Hetero <br> $\imath$ | LGB+ | Hetero | LGB+ <br> $\uparrow$ | Hetero <br> $\uparrow$ |
| Q1 Lower | $33.08 \%$ | $24.54 \%$ | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $28.17 \%$ | $24.84 \%$ |
| Q2 Lower Middle | $19.17 \%$ | $25.33 \%$ | $\downarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $20.66 \%$ | $25.21 \%$ |
| Q3 Upper Middle | $26.69 \%$ | $24.90 \%$ | $\downarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $26.76 \%$ | $24.91 \%$ |
| Q4 Upper | $21.05 \%$ | $25.22 \%$ | $\downarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $24.40 \%$ | $25.05 \%$ |

Table 39: \% Across Quartile (5.37\% of Staff are LGBO)

|  | 2019 |  |  |  | 2018 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LGB+ <br> $\leftrightarrow$ | Hetero <br> $\leftrightarrow$ | LGB+ | Hetero | LGB+ <br> $\leftrightarrow$ | Hetero <br> $\leftrightarrow$ |
| Q1 Lower | $7.10 \%$ | $92.9 \%$ | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $5.26 \%$ | $94.74 \%$ |
| Q2 Lower Middle | $4.12 \%$ | $95.88 \%$ | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $3.86 \%$ | $96.14 \%$ |
| Q3 Upper Middle | $5.73 \%$ | $94.27 \%$ | $\uparrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $5.00 \%$ | $95.00 \%$ |
| Q4 Upper | $4.52 \%$ | $95.48 \%$ | $\downarrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $4.56 \%$ | $95.44 \%$ |

## 7. Overview of Casual and Zero Hour Posts

### 7.1. Casual Posts

A casual post can be used when:

- the need for the work is not constant (seasonal or variable fluctuations in demand)
- unexpected, irregular or infrequent work (e.g. to cover short-term absence where minimal staff presence is essential)
- the requirement is short-term (no more than 8 weeks)
- you do not expect or need a long term, regular requirement for the activity and it cannot be managed within existing resource.

Inappropriate uses of casual workers include when:

- work is regular e.g. weekly, monthly or annually even if the hours vary or there are significant gaps between
- the work undertaken is expected to be repeated on a series of occasions over a longer period (more than 8 weeks)
- you expect or need a longer term relationship to develop.

In 2019 there were 1107 casual posts (up from 945) included in the calculation. 406 (36.7\%) were Male and 698 ( $63.1 \%$ ) were female. The graphs below outline what grade and in which departments these casual posts were engaged on.

## Casual Distribution by Department 2019



The departments engaging the largest proportion of Casuals in March 2019 were the Institute of Clinical Sciences (18.5\%), External Relations, Marketing \& Recruitment (17.7\%) and Facilities, Residences and Commercial Services (15.7\%), although collectively the Academic Schools and Instates engaged 705 or $63.8 \%$ of the casual employees.


Below is a list of examples of what some of these casual posts were being used for in March 2019.

Careers \& Employability<br>Widening Participation<br>- Ad-hoc support for large events e.g. Careers Fairs<br>- Telephone staff for the DLHE survey<br>- Student Ambassadors

### 7.2. Zero Hour Posts

Zero hours posts are usually longer term than Casuals and therefore given a contract of employment. The nature of the work must take place throughout the year but does not have set hours. Individuals will be offered work when available and record their activity from week to week. The University employs a significant number of student demonstrators (supporting lab work) on zero hour contracts. Generally posts expected to last from 8-12 weeks are normally classed as zero hour rather than casual.

In 2019 there were 267 zero hour (up slightly from 260 in 2018) posts included in the calculation. 147 (55.1\%) were Male and 120 (44.9\%) were female. The graphs below outline what grade and in which departments these zero hour posts were engaged on.

## Zero Hour distribution by Dept 2019



## Zero Hour Grade Distribution 2019



■ Grade 1 ■ Grade $2 ■$ Grade $3 ■$ Grade $4 ■$ Grade $5 ■$ Grade $6 ■$ Grade $7 ■$ Grade $8 ■$ Grade 9

Example of posts using zero hour contracts include:
Academic Schools: Postgraduate Demonstrator, Student Demonstrator
Commercial Services: Hospitality Assistant, Bar Assistant
Continuing Education: Continuing Education Tutor
Marketing:
Sport Liverpool: Student Ambassador

Level 1 or 2 Coach, Head instructor and Assistant Coach


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ See: https://www.bma.org.uk/advice/employment/pay/clinical-excellence-awards-for-nhs-consultants

