Mastering Administrative Law

CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS MASTERING SERIES

RUSSELL WEAVER, SERIES EDITOR

For other titles, please go to caplaw.com.

Mastering Administrative Law, Second Edition

Linda D. Jellum

Mastering Adoption Law and Policy

Cynthia Hawkins DeBose

Mastering Alternative Dispute Resolution

Kelly M. Feeley, James A. Sheehan

Mastering American Indian Law

Angelique Wambdi EagleWoman, Stacy L. Leeds

Mastering Appellate Advocacy and Process, Revised Printing

Donna C. Looper, George W. Kuney

Mastering Art Law

Herbert Lazerow

Mastering Bankruptcy

George W. Kuney

Mastering Civil Procedure, Third Edition

David Charles Hricik

Mastering Constitutional Law, Second Edition

John C. Knechtle, Christopher J. Roederer

Mastering Contract Law

Irma S. Russell, Barbara K. Bucholtz

Mastering Corporate Tax, Second Edition

Gail Levin Richmond, Reginald Mombrun, Felicia Branch

Mastering Corporations and Other Business Entities, Second Edition

Lee Harris

Mastering Criminal Law, Second Edition

Ellen S. Podgor, Peter J. Henning, Neil P. Cohen

Mastering Criminal Procedure, Volume 1:

The Investigative Stage, Second Edition

Peter J. Henning, Andrew Taslitz, Margaret L. Paris, Cynthia E. Jones, Ellen S. Podgor

Mastering Criminal Procedure, Volume 2:

The Adjudicatory Stage, Second Edition

Peter J. Henning, Andrew Taslitz, Margaret L. Paris, Cynthia E. Jones, Ellen S. Podgor

Mastering Elder Law, Second Edition

Ralph C. Brashier

Mastering Employment Discrimination Law

Paul M. Secunda, Jeffrey M. Hirsch

Mastering Family Law

Janet Leach Richards

Mastering First Amendment Law

John C. Knechtle

Mastering Income Tax

Christopher M. Pietruszkiewicz, Gail Levin Richmond

Mastering Intellectual Property

George W. Kuney, Donna C. Looper

Mastering Labor Law

Paul M. Secunda, Anne Marie Lofaso, Joseph E. Slater, Jeffrey M. Hirsch

Mastering Legal Analysis and Communication

David T. Ritchie

Mastering Legal Analysis and Drafting

George W. Kuney, Donna C. Looper

Mastering Negotiable Instruments (UCC Articles 3 and 4) and Other Payment Systems, Second Edition

Michael D. Floyd

Mastering Negotiation

Michael R. Fowler

Mastering Partnership Taxation

Stuart Lazar

Mastering Professional Responsibility, Second Edition

Grace M. Giesel

Mastering Property Law, Revised Printing

Darryl C. Wilson, Cynthia Hawkins DeBose

Mastering Secured Transactions: UCC Article 9, Second Edition

Richard H. Nowka

Mastering Statutory Interpretation, Second Edition

Linda D. Jellum

Mastering Tort Law, Second Edition

Russell L. Weaver, Edward C. Martin, Andrew R. Klein, Paul J. Zwier, II, John H. Bauman

Mastering Trademark and Unfair Competition Law

Lars S. Smith, Llewellyn Joseph Gibbons

Mastering Trusts and Estates

Gail Levin Richmond, Don Castleman

For other titles, please go to caplaw.com.

Mastering Administrative Law

SECOND EDITION

Linda D. Jellum

Ellison Capers Palmer Sr. Professor of Law Mercer University School of Law



Copyright © 2018 Linda D. Jellum All Rights Reserved

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Jellum, Linda D., author.

Title: Mastering administrative law / Linda D. Jellum.

Description: Second edition. | Durham, North Carolina : Carolina Academic Press, LLC, [2018] | Series: Mastering series | Includes bibliographical

references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2017055337 | ISBN 9781611638905 (alk. paper) Subjects: LCSH: Administrative law--United States. | Administrative

procedure--United States.

Classification: LCC KF5402 .A83 2018 | DDC 342.73/06--dc23

LC record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2017055337

eISBN 978-1-61163-978-0

Carolina Academic Press, LLC 700 Kent Street Durham, North Carolina 27701 Telephone (919) 489-7486 Fax (919) 493-5668 www.cap-press.com

Printed in the United States of America

For Lee, Chris, and Kaylee: I hope you someday understand why I work so hard

Contents

| Table of Illustrations | XV |
|---|--------|
| Table of Cases | xvii |
| Series Editor's Foreword | xxvii |
| Foreword | xxix |
| Preface to the Second Edition | xxxi |
| About the Author | xxxiii |
| Chapter 1 · The Role of Administrative Law | 3 |
| Roadmap | 3 |
| A. Introduction | 3 |
| B. The Constitutionality of Regulation | 7 |
| C. Separation of Powers Problems with Regulation | 10 |
| 1. The Problem of Authority | 11 |
| 2. The Problem of Unfairness | 14 |
| 3. The Problem of Unaccountability | 15 |
| a. Legislative Oversight | 15 |
| b. Judicial Oversight | 17 |
| c. Executive Oversight | 17 |
| D. Administrative Law Defined | 24 |
| Chapter 2 · Rulemaking | 27 |
| Roadmap | 27 |
| A. Why Agencies Use Rulemaking | 27 |
| Individualized Decision Making | 27 |
| 2. The Use of General Rules | 28 |
| B. Rulemaking and the Rule of Law in a Democracy | 29 |
| C. The Components of Modern Rulemaking — A Case Study | 30 |
| D. The Legal Framework for Rulemaking | 36 |

x CONTENTS

| | 1. | Preliminary Questions | 36 |
|-------|------|---|----|
| | 2. | The Administrative Procedure Act | 37 |
| | | a. The APA Definition of Rule and Rulemaking | 39 |
| | | b. Formal and Informal Rules | 40 |
| | | c. "Nonlegislative Rules" (Interpretive Rules, Policy | |
| | | Statements, etc.) | 42 |
| | | d. Section 553 Exemptions | 45 |
| | | e. Starting the Process — The Rulemaking Petition under | |
| | | APA § 553(e) | 48 |
| | | f. Notice & Comment Procedures | 51 |
| | | g. Agency Consideration of Public Comment — Drafting | |
| | | the Final Rule | 55 |
| | | (1) The Administrative "Record" | 55 |
| | | (2) The Agency as Decisionmaker | 56 |
| | | (3) Ex Parte Communications | 57 |
| | | (4) The "Concise, General Statement" | 58 |
| | 3. | Procedures in Addition to those in the APA | |
| | | (Hybrid Rulemaking) | 59 |
| | | a. Congress | 59 |
| | | b. The President | 61 |
| | | c. Agencies | 65 |
| Chapt | er 3 | · Adjudication | 67 |
| Ro | adn | nap | 67 |
| A. | Th | e Nature of Adjudication | 67 |
| B. | Th | e Sources of Procedural Requirements | |
| | in | Adjudication | 70 |
| C. | Th | e APA's Requirements | 71 |
| | 1. | When the APA's Procedural Requirements Apply | 71 |
| | | a. The APA's Definition of Adjudication | 72 |
| | | b. Congressional Intent to Require Formal Procedures | 74 |
| | | c. Exceptions to Formal Adjudication | 75 |
| | 2. | What the APA Requires | 76 |
| | | a. Notice | 76 |
| | | b. The Presiding Officer | 77 |
| | | c. Separation of Functions | 82 |
| | | d. ALJ Powers | 84 |
| | | e. The Right to Counsel | 85 |

CONTENTS xi

| | f. The Hearing | 87 |
|-------|--|-----|
| | g. Prohibited Ex Parte Communications | 89 |
| | h. The Record | 94 |
| | i. The Initial Decision | 96 |
| | j. Appealing the Initial Decision | 97 |
| | k. Judicial Review | 99 |
| D. | Informal Adjudication | 99 |
| Chapt | er 4 · Due Process Requirements for Administrative | |
| | Proceedings | 101 |
| Ro | admap | 101 |
| A. | Introduction | 101 |
| В. | Question One: Whether Due Process Applies | 104 |
| | 1. Issues Protected by Due Process | 104 |
| | 2. Interests Protected by Due Process | 105 |
| | a. Property Interest | 107 |
| | b. Liberty Interest | 111 |
| | c. Unconstitutional Conditions | 113 |
| C. | Question Two: When Does Due Process Apply? | 115 |
| D. | Question Three: What Process Is Due? | 116 |
| | 1. The Private Interest | 118 |
| | 2. The Risk of Error | 119 |
| | 3. The Public Interest | 121 |
| | 4. The Right to a Neutral Decisionmaker | 123 |
| E. | Conclusion | 123 |
| Chapt | er 5 · The Availability of Judicial Review | 125 |
| Ro | admap | 125 |
| A. | Introduction | 125 |
| В. | Why Judicial Review Is Important | 126 |
| C. | Whether Courts Have Jurisdiction: The Courts | 128 |
| D. | Who Is Entitled to Judicial Review: The Plaintiff | 129 |
| | 1. Constitutional Standing | 130 |
| | a. Injury in Fact | 131 |
| | b. Causation | 134 |
| | c. Redressability | 137 |
| | 2. Prudential Standing | 139 |
| | a. Representational or Associational Standing | 139 |

xii CONTENTS

| | b. Generalized Grievances | 142 |
|-------|---|-----|
| | 3. Statutory Standing: The Zone of Interest Test | 143 |
| | 4. Standing: A Flow Chart | 147 |
| E. | What Is Subject to Judicial Review: The Claim | 148 |
| | 1. Agency Action | 149 |
| | 2. Express and Implied Preclusion | 150 |
| | 3. Committed to Agency Discretion | 154 |
| F. | When Judicial Review Is Available: The Timing | 157 |
| | 1. Finality | 158 |
| | 2. Exhaustion of Administrative Remedies | 160 |
| | 3. Administrative Issue Exhaustion | 164 |
| | 4. Ripeness | 164 |
| | 5. Primary Jurisdiction | 169 |
| G. | The Availability of Judicial Review: A Flow Chart | 171 |
| Chapt | ter 6 · Scope of Judicial Review of Agency Action | 173 |
| _ | padmap | 173 |
| | Introduction | 173 |
| | 1. The Importance of Scope of Review | 174 |
| | 2. Intensity and Scope of Review | 175 |
| В. | Reviewing Agency Determinations of Law | 177 |
| | Defining Questions of Law | 177 |
| | 2. Pre-Chevron: Skidmore and Hearst | 178 |
| | 3. Chevron | 182 |
| | a. The Chevron Two-Step | 183 |
| | b. Step One: Has Congress Spoken? | 185 |
| | c. Step Two: Is the Agency's Interpretation Reasonables | 186 |
| | 4. Chevron Step Zero | 188 |
| | a. What Did the Agency Interpret? | 189 |
| | b. Which Agency Interpreted the Statute? | 191 |
| | c. How Did the Agency Interpret the Statute: Force | |
| | of Law? | 192 |
| | d. Can the Agency Interpret the Statute? | 199 |
| | e. Applying <i>Chevron</i> 's Step Zero | 201 |
| | 5. Agency Interpretations that Conflict with Judicial | |
| | Interpretations | 203 |
| C. | Reviewing Agency Determinations of Fact and Policy | 206 |
| | Defining Questions of Fact | 206 |

CONTENTS xiii

| | 2. Defining Questions of Policy | 207 |
|-------|---|-----|
| | 3. Substantial Evidence Review | 208 |
| | 4. Arbitrary and Capricious Review | 211 |
| | 5. The Difference Between Substantial Evidence and | |
| | Arbitrary and Capricious Review | 216 |
| | 6. De Novo Review | 217 |
| | 7. The Record for Fact and Policy Review | 218 |
| E. | Summary | 219 |
| Chapt | er 7 · The Flow of Information | 223 |
| _ | admap | 223 |
| A. | | 224 |
| | 1. Documents and Testimony | 225 |
| | a. Voluntary Production | 225 |
| | b. Involuntary Production | 225 |
| | (1) Statutory Limits | 226 |
| | (2) Constitutional Limits: Fourth Amendment | 227 |
| | (3) Constitutional Limits: Fifth Amendment | 229 |
| | 2. Physical Inspections | 234 |
| | a. Voluntary Compliance | 234 |
| | b. Involuntary Compliance | 235 |
| | (1) Statutory Limits | 235 |
| | (2) Constitutional Limits: Fourth Amendment | 235 |
| B. | Information Flowing to the Public | 241 |
| | 1. The Freedom of Information Act | 241 |
| | a. Requirements | 241 |
| | b. Exemptions | 245 |
| | c. Enforcement | 251 |
| | 2. The Government in the Sunshine Act | 253 |
| | 3. The Federal Advisory Committee Act | 256 |
| | 4. The Privacy Act | 258 |
| | 5. Summary of these Acts | 261 |
| Maste | ring Administrative Law Master Checklist | 265 |
| Appen | ndix: Select Sections of the Federal Administrative | |
| | Procedure Act | 269 |
| Indev | | 203 |

Table of Illustrations

| Figure 1.1 | Legitimate Delegation in Agency and Constitutional Law | 12 |
|------------|--|-----|
| Figure 1.2 | The Appointment Process | 18 |
| Figure 1.3 | The Shrinking Presidential Removal Power | 23 |
| Figure 2.1 | Three Types of Rulemaking Procedures | 4 |
| Figure 3.1 | Four Types of Rulemaking and Adjudication Procedures | 7 |
| Figure 3.2 | The APA's Definition of Adjudication | 72 |
| Figure 3.3 | Three Models of Agency Adjudication | 78 |
| Figure 4.1 | A Useful Reminder in Iambic Pentameter | 103 |
| Figure 5.1 | The Standing Flowchart | 148 |
| Figure 5.2 | Comparing APA Sections 701(a)(2) and 706(2)(A) | 155 |
| Figure 5.3 | Availability of Judicial Review | 17 |
| Figure 6.1 | The Scope of Review Intensity Spectrum | 175 |
| Figure 6.2 | Steps for Review of Formal Adjudication | 176 |
| Figure 6.3 | Understanding Reasonableness | 187 |
| Figure 6.4 | Scope of Review Flowchart | 219 |
| Figure 6.5 | The Scope of Review Intensity Spectrum in | |
| | Administrative Law | 220 |
| Figure 7.1 | Warrant Exception for Persuasively Regulated | |
| | Businesses | 239 |
| Figure 7.2 | The Fourth Exemption in the Freedom of | |
| | Information Act | 247 |

Table of Cases

AAPC v. FCC, 442 F.3d 751 (D.C. Cir. 2006), 156

Abbott Laboratories v. Gardner, 387 U.S. 136 (1967), 151-153, 160, 166-168

Air Courier Conference v. American Postal Workers Union, 498 U.S. 517 (1991), 146

Air Pollution Variance Bd. v. Western Alfalfa Corp., 416 U.S. 861 (1974), 237 Alabama-Tombigee Rivers Coalition v. DOI, 26 F.3d 1103 (11th Cir. 1994), 258

A.L.A. Schechter Poultry Corp. v. United States, 295 U.S. 495 (1935), 5

Allen v. Wright, 468 U.S. 737 (1984), 130

American Ass'n of Exporters & Importers v. United States, 751 F.2d 1239 (Fed. Cir. 1985), 45

American Hospital v. Bowen, 834 F.2d 1037 (D.C. Cir. 1987), 46, 163

American Mining Cong. v. Mine Safety & Health Admin., 995 F.2d 1106 (D.C. Cir. 1993), 194

American Postal Workers Union v. USPS, 891 F.2d 304 (D.C. Cir. 1989), 146

American Trucking Ass'n v. EPA, 175 F.3d 1027 (D.C. Cir. 1999), 33

American Trucking Ass'n, Inc. v. EPA, 283 F.3d 355 (D.C. Cir. 2002), 33

American Lung Ass'n v. Browner, 884 F. Supp. 345 (D. Ariz. 1994), 31

Andersen v. Maryland, 427 U.S. 463 (1976), 229

Arkansas Power & Light Co. v. ICC, 725 F.2d 716 (1984), 49

Arlington v. FCC, 133 S. Ct.1863 (2013), 36

Arnold Tours, Inc. v. Camp, 400 U.S. 45 (1970), 147

Ash Grove Cement Co. v. FTC, 577 F.2d 1368 (9th Cir. 1978), 208

Association of Am. Physicians & Surgeons, Inc. v. Clinton, 997 F.2d 898 (D.C. Cir. 1993), 191

Association of Data Processing v. Camp, 397 U.S. 150 (1970), 131, 134, 143, 146, 216

Association of Data Processing Serv. Orgs., Inc. v. Board of Governors of Fed. Reserve Sys., 745 F.2d 677 (D.C. Cir. 1984), 216

Association of Nat'l Advertisers, Inc. v. FTC, 627 F.2d 1151 (D.C. Cir. 1979), 163

Auer v. Robbins, 519 U.S. 452 (1997), 189, 190, 197, 201, 221

Barnhart v. Peabody Coal Co., 537 U.S. 149 (2003), 50

Barnhart v. Walton, 535 U.S. 212 (2002), 50, 194, 197–200, 202

Batterton v. Marshall, 648 F.2d 694 (D.C. Cir. 1980), 46

Beck v. United States Dep't of Commerce, 982 F.2d. 1332 (9th Cir. 1992), 156

Bennett v. Plenart, 63 F.3d 915 (9th Cir. 1995), 144

Bennett v. Spear, 520 U.S. 154 (1997), 134, 136, 144–146, 158–160

Bi-Metallic Invest. Co. v. State Bd., 239 U.S. 441 (1915), 59

Block v. Community Nutrition Inst., 467 U.S. 340 (1984), 9, 54, 145, 151-153

Board of Curators of the University of Mo. v. Horowitz, 435 U.S. 78 (1978), 119, 122

Board of Ed. v. Earls, 536 U.S. 822 (2002), 238

Board of Governors, FRS v. MCorp Financial, Inc., 502 U.S. 32 (1991), 150

Board of Regents v. Roth, 408 U.S. 564 (1972), 107–109, 111, 112, 114

Bowen v. New York, 476 U.S. 467 (1986), 163

Bowles v. Seminole Rock & Sand Co., 325 U.S. 410 (1945), 190

Bowsher v. Synar, 478 U.S. 714 (1986), 21

Boyd v. United States, 116 U.S. 616 (1886), 231

Braswell v. United States, 487 U.S. 99 (1988), 232

Buckley v. Valeo, 424 U.S. 1 (1976), 19

Butz v. Economou, 438 U.S. 478 (1978), 80, 92

Camara v. Municipal Court, 387 U.S. 523 (1967), 236

Camp v. Pitts, 411 U.S. 138 (1973), 217

Campagnie Française De Navigation A Vapeur v. Louisiana State Bd. of Health, 186 U.S. 380 (1902), 237

Cargill, Inc. v. United States, 173 F.3d 323 (5th Cir. 1999), 258

Center for Nat'l Security Studies v. DOJ, 331 F.3d 918 (D.C. Cir. 2003), 250

Center for Policy Analysis on Trade & Health v. Office of U.S. Trade Rep., 540 F.3d 940 (9th Cir. 2008), 258

CF Indus., Inc. v. FERC, 925 F.2d 476 (D.C. Cir. 1991), 191

CFTC v. Collins, 997 F.2d 1230 (7th Cir. 1993), 232

CFTC v. Schor, 478 U.S. 833 (1986), 179

Chemical Waste Mgmt, Inc. v. EPA, 873 F.2d 1477 (D.C. Cir. 1989), 75

Chevron U.S.A., Inc. v. Natural Res. Def. Council, Inc., 467 U.S. 837 (1984), 75, 182–188

Chocolate Mfr. Ass'n v. Block, 755 F.2d 1098 (4th Cir. 1985), 54

Christensen v. Harris Cty., 529 U.S. 576 (2000), 189, 192, 194–197, 199, 200, 202

Chrysler v. Brown, 441 U.S. 281 (1979), 248

Cinderella Career & Finishing School v. FTC, 425 F.2d 134 (D.C. Cir. 1970), 95

Citizens Awareness Network v. United States, 391 F.3d 338 (1st Cir. 2004), 88

Citizens to Preserve Overton Park v. Volpe, 401 U.S. 402 (1971), 55, 56, 156, 188, 212, 216–218

Clarke v. Securities Industry Ass'n, 479 U.S. 388 (1987), 144

Cleveland Bd. of Ed. v. Loudermill, 470 U.S. 532 (1985), 115, 116

Codd v. Velger, 429 U.S. 624 (1977), 112, 113, 115

Colonnade Catering Corp. v. United States, 397 U.S. 72 (1970), 238

Colony, Inc. v. Commissioner, 357 U.S. 28 (1958), 205

Common Cause v. NRC, 674 F.2d 921 (D.C. Cir. 1982), 255

Connecticut Dep't of Public Safety v. Doe, 538 U.S. 1 (2003), 113

Connecticut Light & Power Co. v. NRDC, 673 F.2d 525 (D.C. Cir. 1982), 52

Consolidated Edison v. NLRB, 305 U.S. 197 (1938), 88

Critical Mass Energy Project v. NRC, 830 F.2d 278 (D.C. Cir. 1987), 247

Crooker v. Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco & Firearms, 670 F.2d 1051 (D.C. Cir. 1981), 246

Cuomo v. Clearing House, 557 U.S. 519 (2009), 185

Dalton v. Specter, 511 U.S. 462 (1994), 160

Darby v. Cisneros, 509 U.S. 137, 144 (1993), 160

Dayco v. FTC, 362 F.2d 180 (6th Cir. 1966), 160, 161

Department of Air Force v. Rose, 425 U.S. 352 (1976), 246

Director, OWCP v. Greenwich Collieries, 512 U.S. 267 (1994), 87

Dittman v. California, 191 F.3d 1020 (9th Cir. 1999), 259

Doe v. United States, 487 U.S. 201 (1984), 233

DOI v. Klamath Water Users Protective Ass'n, 532 U.S. 1 (2001), 248

DOJ v. Reporters Comm. for Freedom of the Press, 489 U.S. 749 (1989), 251

DOJ v. Tax Analysts, 492 U.S. 136 (1989), 244

Dominion Energy v. Johnson, 443 F.3d 12 (1st Cir. 2006), 75

Donovan v. Dewey, 452 U.S. 594 (1981), 239

DOT v. Association of Am. RRs, 135 S. Ct. 1225 (2015), 38

Dr. Bonham's Case, 77 Eng. Rep 646 (C.P. 1610), 127

Duke Power Co. v. Carolina Envt'l Study Group, 438 U.S. 59 (1978), 131, 132

Durns v. Bureau of Prisons, 804 F.2d 701 (D.C. Cir. 1986), 245

Eagle Eye Fishing Corp. v. United States, 20 F.3d 503 (1st Cir. 1994), 164

Edmond v. United States, 520 U.S. 651 (1997), 18

EEOC v. Karuk Tribal Housing Auth., 260 F.3d 1071 (9th Cir. 2001), 228

Elgin v. Department of the Treasury, 132 S. Ct. 2126 (2012), 153

Endicott Johnson Corp. v. Perkins, 317 U.S. 501 (1943), 228

Environmental Defense Fund, Inc. v. Blum, 458 F. Supp. 650 (D.D.C. 1978), 90

Environmental Integrity Project v. EPA, 425 F.3d 992 (D.C. Cir. 2005), 54

Erie v. Pap's AM, 529 U.S. 277 (2000), 96

Ex parte Hennen, 38 U.S. 230 (13 Pet. 230) (1839), 21

Ewing v. Mytinger & Casselberry, Inc., 339 U.S. 594 (1950), 116

Far Eastern Conference v. United States, 432 U.S. 570 (1952), 170

FCC v. Fox, 556 U.S. 502 (2009), 214

FCC v. ITT World Commc'ns, Inc., 466 U.S. 463 (1984), 254

FCC v. Schreiber, 329 F.2d 517 (9th Cir. 1964), 86

FDA v. Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corp., 529 U.S. 120 (2000), 13, 36, 199–201

FEC v. Akins, 524 U.S. 11 (1998), 133, 134

Federal Deposit Ins. Corp. v. Philadelphia Gear Corp., 476 U.S. 426 (1986), 193

Fisher v. United States, 425 U.S. 391 (1976), 232

Florida Audubon Soc'y v. Bentsen, 94 F.3d 658 (D.C. Cir. 1996), 135

Florida Power & Light Co. v. EPA, 145 F.3d 1414 (D.C. Cir. 1998), 167

FLRA v. U.S. Dep't of Treasury, 884 F.2d 1446 (D.C. Cir. 1989), 192

Frank v. Maryland, 359 U.S. 360 (1959), 236

Franklin v. Massachusetts, 505 U.S. 788 (1992), 38, 158-160

Free Enterprise Fund v. Public Co. Accounting Oversight Bd., 561 U.S. 477 (2010), 20, 22

Freytag v. Commissioner, 501 U.S. 868 (1991), 19

Friends of the Earth v. Laidlaw Envtl. Servs., 528 U.S. 167 (2000), 134, 142

FTC v. American Tobacco Co., 264 U.S. 298 (1924), 228

FTC v. Cement Institute, 333 U. S. 683 (1948), 81

FTC v. Standard Oil Co., 449 U.S. 232 (1980), 159

Gabrilowitz v. Newman, 582 F.2d 100 (1st Cir. 1978), 120

Gibson v. Berryhill, 411 U.S. 564 (1973), 111

Gjeci v. Gonzales, 451 F.3d 416 (7th Cir. 2006), 85

Goldberg v. Kelly, 397 U.S. 254 (1970), 106–109, 117–119

Gonzales v. Oregon, 546 U.S. 243 (2006), 85, 190, 200

Gonzalez v. Village of W. Milwaukee, 671 F.3d 649 (7th Cir. 2012), 259

Gordon v. FBI, 388 F. Supp. 2d 1028 (N.D. Cal. 2005), 261

Goss v. Lopez, 419 U.S. 565 (1975), 117

Greater Boston Television v. FCC, 444 F.2d 841 (D. C. Cir. 1970), 216

Grosso v. United States, 390 U.S. 62 (1968), 231

Guentchev v. INS, 77 F.3d 1036 (7th Cir. 1996), 97

Hamdan v. Rumsfeld, 548 U.S. 557 (2006), 186, 200

Hamdi v. Rumsfeld, 542 U.S. 507 (2004), 200

Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821 (1985), 157

Hertzberg v. Veneman, 273 F. Supp. 2d 67 (D.C. Cir. 2003), 249

Hodel v. Virginia Surface Mining & Reclamation Ass'n, Inc., 452 U.S. 264 (1981), 116

Holmes v. N.Y. Housing Auth., 398 F.2d 262 (2d Cir. 1968), 37

Home Box Office, Inc. v. FCC, 567 F.2d 9 (D.C. Cir. 1977), 56, 58, 90

Houghton v. Shafer, 392 U.S. 639 (1968), 163

Humphrey's Executor v. United States, 295 U.S. 602 (1935), 20-22

Hunt v. Washington Apple Adv. Comm'n, 432 U.S. 333 (1977), 141

Ibrahim v. Department of Homeland Security, 62 F. Supp. 3d 909 (N.D. Cal. 2014), 261

ICC v. Louisville & N.R. Co., 227 U.S. 88 (1913), 208

Illinois Nat'l Guard v. FLRA, 854 F.2d 1396 (D.C. Cir. 1988), 191

In re Groban, 352 U.S. 330 (1957), 85

Industrial Union v. American Petroleum Inst., 448 U.S. 607 (1980), 13

INS v. Chadha, 462 U.S. 919 (1983), 10, 16, 60

INS v. Lopez-Mendoza, 468 U.S. 1032 (1984), 240

International Union v. Chao, 361 F.3d 249 (3rd. Cir. 2004), 50

Investment Co. Instit. v. Camp, 401 U.S. 617 (1971), 147

Jackson v. Veterans Admin., 786 F.2d 1322 (Fed. Cir. 1985), 98

Jacobson v. Massachusetts, 197 U.S. 11 (1905), 237

JEM Broadcasting Co., Inc. v. FCC, 22 F.3d 320 (D.C. Cir. 1994), 47

John D. Copanos & Sons, Inc. v. FDA, 854 F.2d 510 (D.C. Cir. 1988), 76

John Doe Agency v. John Doe Corp., 493 U.S. 146 (1990), 251

Johnson v. Robison, 415 U.S. 361 (1974), 157

J.W. Hampton, Jr., & Co. v. United States, 276 U.S. 394 (1928), 12

Kapps v. Wing, 404 F.3d 105 (2d Cir. 2005), 110

Kastigar v. United States, 406 U.S. 441 (1972), 230

King v. Burwell, 135 S. Ct. 2480 (2015), 199

Kissinger v. Reporters Comm. for Freedom of the Press, 445 U.S. 136 (1980), 243

Korematsu v. United States, 323 U.S. 214 (1944), 63

Kruse v. Wells Fargo Home Mortg., Inc., 383 F.3d 49 (2d Cir. 2004), 198

Krzalic v. Republic Title Co., 314 F.3d 875 (7th Cir. 2002), 198

Lakeland Enters. of Rhinelander, Inc. v. Chao, 402 F.3d 739 (7th Cir. 2005), 240

Landry v. FDIC, 204 F.3d 1125 (D.C. Cir. 2000), 20

Lanzetta v. Woodmansee, 2013 WL 1610508 (M.D. Fla. Apr. 15, 2013), 260

Lawson v. FMR, LLC, 134 S. Ct. 1158 (2014), 191

Leedom v. Kyne, 358 U.S. 184 (1958), 150

Lefkowitz v. Turley, 414 U.S. 70 (1973), 233

Lincoln v. Vigil, 508 U.S. 182 (1993), 156

Londoner v. Denver, 210 U.S. 373 (1908), 104

Lujan v. Defenders of Wildlife, 504 U.S. 555 (1992), 130–135, 138, 140, 145, 149

Manshardt v. Federal Judicial Qualifications Comm'n, 408 F.3d 1154 (9th Cir. 2005), 258

Marbury v. Madison, 1 Cranch (5 U.S.) 137 (1803), 125-128, 179, 180

Marchetti v. United States, 390 U.S. 39 (1968), 231

Marshall v. Barlow's, Inc., 436 U.S. 307 (1978), 236

Martin v. OSHRC, 499 U.S. 144 (1991), 189

Mashphee Tribe v. New Seabury Corp., 592 F.2d 575 (1st Cir. 1979), 170

Massachusetts v. EPA, 549 U.S. 497 (2007), 13, 38, 49, 134, 135, 143, 150, 159, 171

Mathews v. Eldridge, 424 U.S. 319 (1976), 116-124

Mayo Found. for Med. Educ. & Research v. United States, 562 U.S. 44 (2011), 191

McCarthy v. Madigan, 503 U.S. 140 (1992), 160, 161, 163

MCI Telecommuns. Corp. v. AT&T, 518 U.S. 218 (1994), 185

Megdal v. Oregon State Bd. of Dental Exam'rs, 605 P.2d 273 (Or. 1980), 37

Meyer v. Nebraska, 262 U.S. 390 (1923), 111

Michigan v. EPA, 135 S. Ct. 2699 (2015), 187, 237

Michigan v. Tyler, 436 U.S. 499 (1978), 237

Mohamed v. Holder, No. 11-1924 2013 U.S. App. LEXIS 26340 (4th Cir. May 28, 2013), 261

Moore v. East Cleveland, 431 U.S. 494 (1977), 163

Morgan v. United States, 298 U.S. 468 (1936), 96

Morrison v. Olson, 487 U.S. 654 (1988), 10, 18, 21

Motor Vehicles Manufs. Ass'n v. State Farm, 463 U.S. 29 (1983), 188, 212-216

Myers v. Bethlehem Shipbuilding Corp., 303 U.S. 41 (1938), 161

Myers v. United States, 272 U.S. 52 (1926), 20–22, 161

Nademi v. INS, 679 F.2d 811 (10th Cir. 1982), 45

National Archives & Records Admin. v. Favish, 541 U.S. 157 (2004), 250

National Automatic Laundry & Cleaning Council v. Shultz, 443 F.2d 689 (D.C. Cir. 1971), 159, 167

National Broad. Co. v. United States, 319 U.S. 190 (1943), 13

National Cable & Telecommuns. Ass'n v. Brand X Internet Servs., 545 U.S. 967 (2005), 186, 204, 205

National Credit Union Admin. v. First Nat'l Bank & Trust, 522 U.S. 479 (1998), 146, 147

National Mining Ass'n. v. MSHA, 116 F.3d 520 (D.C. Cir. 1997), 54

National Parks v. Morton, 498 F.2d 765 (D.C. Cir. 1974), 247

National Parks Hospitality Ass'n v. DOI, 538 U.S. 803 (2003), 167

National R.R. Passenger Corp. v. Boston & Me. Corp., 503 U.S. 407 (1992), 193

National Whistleblower Ctr. v. NRC, 208 F.3rd 256 (D.C. Cir. 2000), 47

NationsBank of North Carolina. v. Variable Annuity Life Insurance Co., 513 U.S. 251 (1995), 193, 196

Negusie v. Holder, 555 U.S. 511 (2009), 185

New York v. Burger, 482 U.S. 691 (1987), 239

NLRB v. Hearst Publ'n, Inc., 322 U.S. 111 (1944), 178, 180–183, 185

NLRB v. Local Union No. 25, 586 F.2d 959 (2d Cir. 1978), 76

NLRB v. Robbins Tire & Rubber Co., 437 U.S. 214 (1978), 250

NLRB v. Sears, 421 U.S. 132 (1975), 249

NLRB v. United Insurance, 390 U.S. 254 (1968), 181

NLRB v. Wyman-Gordon, Inc., 394 U.S. 759 (1969), 37

North Am. Cold Storage Co. v. Chicago, 211 U.S. 306 (1908), 237

Norton v. South Utah Wilderness Alliance, 542 U.S. 55 (2004), 50, 149

O'Leary v. Brown-Pacific-Maxon, 340 U.S. 504 (1951), 178, 210

Osteen v. Henley, 13 F.3d 221 (7th Cir. 1993), 120

Pacific States Box & Basket v. White, 296 U.S. 176 (1935), 211

Palsgraf v. Long Island R.R. Co., 162 N.E. 99 (NY 1928), 27

Paul v. Davis, 424 U.S. 693 (1976), 112

Paul v. Shalala, 29 F.3d 208 (5th Cir. 1994), 164

Pennsylvania Bd. of Probation & Parole v. Scott, 524 U.S. 357 (1998), 240

Perry v. Sindermann, 408 U.S. 593 (1972), 108, 109, 112, 114

Pickus v. United States Board of Parole, 507 F.2d 1107 (D.C. Cir. 1974), 46

Portland Cement Ass'n v. Ruckelshaus, 486 F.2d 375 (D.C. Cir. 1973), 52

Press v. Walling, 327 U.S. 186 (1946), 228

Professional Air Traffic Controllers Org. v. FLRA, 685 F.2d 547 (D.C. Cir. 1982), 91, 94

Public Citizen v. DOJ, 491 U.S. 440 (1989), 257

Rapaport v. U.S. Dep't of the Treasury, 59 F.3d 212 (D.C. Cir. 1995), 191

Reno v. Koray, 515 U.S. 50 (1995), 193

Reporters Comm. for Freedom of the Press v. DOJ, 816 F.2d 730 (D.C. Cir. 1987), 192

Richardson v. Perales, 402 U.S. 389 (1971), 88

Rochester Tele. Corp v. Unites States, 307 U.S. 125 (1939), 158

Safford Unified School District #1 v. Redding, 557 U.S. 364 (2009), 238

Sandin v. Connor, 515 U.S. 472 (1995), 113

Sangamon Valley v. United States, 269 F.2d 221 (D.C. Cir. 1959), 58, 91

Schmitt v. Detroit, 395 F.3d 327 (6th Cir. 2005), 259

Schuetz v. Banc One Mortg. Corp., 292 F.3d 1004 (9th Cir. 2002), 198

Schware v. Board of Bar Exam'rs, 353 U.S. 232 (1957), 111

Schwier v. Cox, 340 F.3d 1284 (11th Cir. 2003), 260

SEC v. Chenery, 332 U.S. 194 (1947), 37

See v. Seattle, 387 U.S. 541 (1967), 236

Shands v. Kennett, 993 F.2d 1337 (8th Cir. 1993), 111

Shapiro v. United States, 335 U.S. 1 (1948), 231

Sierra Club v. Costle, 657 F.2d 298 (D.C. Cir. 1981), 58

Sierra Club v. Morton, 405 U.S. 727 (1972), 134, 141, 247

Simon v. Eastern Ky. Welfare Rights Org., 426 U.S. 26 (1976), 135, 136

Sims v. Apfel, 530 U.S. 103 (2000), 164

Skidmore v. Swift, 323 U.S. 134 (1944), 173, 178, 180, 182–184, 189, 193, 195, 196, 198, 200, 202, 203, 221

Smith v. Richert, 35 F.3d 300 (7th Cir. 1994), 232

Southwestern Pa. Growth Alliance v. Browner, 121 F.3d 106 (3rd Cir. 1997), 164

Southwest Sunsites, Inc. v. FTC, 785 F2d 1431 (9th Cir. 1986), 76

Spevack v. Klein, 385 U.S. 511 (1967), 231

State Bd. of Equalization v. BiMetallic Investment Co., 56 Colo. 512 (Colo. 1914), 104

Steel Co. v. Citizens for a Better Environment, 523 U.S. 83 (1998), 128

Sykes v. Apfel, 228 F.3d 259 (3rd Cir. 2000), 96

Taylor-Callahan-Coleman Ctys. District Adult Probation Dep't v. Dole, 948 F.2d 953 (5th Cir. 1991), 159

Telecommunications Research & Action Ctr. v. FCC, 750 F.2d 70 (D.C. Cir. 1984), 49, 50

Texaco, Inc. v. Federal Power Comm'n, 412 F.2d 740 (3d Cir. 1969), 45

Texaco Inc. v. FTC, 336 F.2d 754 (D.C. Cir. 1964), 123

Texas & Pacific RR. Co. v. Abilene Cotton Oil Co., 204 U.S. 426 (1907), 170

Thunder Basin Coal Co. v. Reich, 510 U.S. 200 (1994), 153, 154

Ticor Title Insurance Co. v. FTC, 814 F.2d 731 (D.C. Cir. 1987), 158

Tijerina v. Walters, 821 F.2d 789 (D.C. Cir. 1987), 261

Toilet Goods Ass'n v. Gardner, 387 U.S. 158 (1967), 166, 167

Trinity Industries, Inc. v. OSHRC, 16 F.3d 1455 (6th Cir. 1994), 240

Uniformed Sanitation Men Ass'n v. Commission of Sanitation, 392 U.S. 280 (1968), 231

Union Electric Co. v. FERC, 890 F.2d 1193 (D.C. Cir. 1989), 96

United States Agency for Int'l Dev. v. Alliance for Open Society Int'l, Inc., 133 S. Ct. 2321 (2013), 114

United States v. Biswell, 406 U.S. 311 (1972), 238

United States v. Doe, 465 U. S. 605 (1984), 232

United States v. Florida East Coast Ry., 410 U.S. 224 (1973), 42, 74

United States v. Home Concrete & Supply, 566 U.S. 478 (2012), 205, 206

United States v. Hubbell, 530 U.S. 27 (2000), 232

United States v. Janis, 428 U.S. 433 (1976), 240

United States v. Mead Corp., 533 U.S. 218 (2001), 189, 192, 194–197, 199, 200, 202, 203

United States v. Nova Scotia Food Products, 568 F.2d 240 (2d Cir. 1977), 218

United States v. Patane, 542 U.S. 630 (2004), 234

United States v. Richardson, 418 U.S. 166 (1974), 134

United States v. Students Challenging Regulatory Agency Procedures, 412 U.S. 669 (1973), 131, 132, 134, 135, 143, 144

United States v. Sturm, Ruger Co., Inc., 84 F.3d 1 (1st Cir. 1996), 227

United Steelworkers v. Schuykill Metal, 828 F.2d 314 (5th Cir. 1987), 54

Universal Camera v. NLRB, 340 U.S. 474 (1951), 98, 176, 209

Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. Natural Res. Def. Council, Inc., 435 U.S. 519 (1978), 52, 59, 66

Vernonia School District 47J v. Acton, 515 U.S. 646 (1995), 238

Wagner Seed Co. v. Bush, 946 F.2d 918 (D.C. Cir. 1991), 191

Walker v. Southern Ry. Co., 385 U.S. 196 (1966), 163

Walters v. National Assoc. of Radiation Survivors, 473 U.S. 305 (1985), 121

Warth v. Seldin, 422 U.S. 490 (1975), 136

Wayman v. Southard, 23 U.S. 1 (1825), 12

Webster v. Doe, 486 U.S. 592 (1988), 156

Weiner v. United States, 357 U.S. 349 (1958), 21

Weisberg v. DOJ, 705 F.2d 1344 (D.C. Cir. 1983), 244

Western Ill. Home Health Care, Inc. v. Herman, 150 F.3d 659 (7th Cir. 1998), 160

White v. Indiana Parole Bd., 266 F.3d 759 (7th Cir. 2001), 92

Whitman v. American Trucking Ass'ns., Inc., 531 U.S. 457 (2001), 13, 31, 33

Wilson v. United States, 221 U.S. 361 (1911), 230

Wisconsin v. Constantineau, 400 U.S. 433 (1971), 111

Withrow v. Larkin, 421 U.S. 35 (1975), 81, 82, 123

Youngstown Sheet & Tube Co. v. Sawyer, 343 U.S. 579 (1952), 63

Series Editor's Foreword

The Carolina Academic Press Mastering Series is designed to provide you with a tool that will enable you to easily and efficiently "master" the substance and content of law school courses. Throughout the series, the focus is on quality writing that makes legal concepts understandable. As a result, the series is designed to be easy to read and is not unduly cluttered with footnotes or cites to secondary sources.

In order to facilitate student mastery of topics, the Mastering Series includes a number of pedagogical features designed to improve learning and retention. At the beginning of each chapter, you will find a "Roadmap" that tells you about the chapter and provides you with a sense of the material that you will cover. A "Checkpoint" at the end of each chapter encourages you to stop and review the key concepts, reiterating what you have learned. Throughout the book, key terms are explained and emphasized. Finally, a "Master Checklist" at the end of each book reinforces what you have learned and helps you identify any areas that need review or further study.

We hope that you will enjoy studying with, and learning from, the Mastering Series.

Russell L. Weaver Professor of Law & Distinguished University Scholar University of Louisville, Louis D. Brandeis School of Law

Foreword

Administrative law is something exotic in the law school curriculum. That is, the subject is unknown to most students. And the field is full of alphabet-soup acronyms and insider jargon. Moreover, most students have had little direct experience with regulatory bodies. All of this can make the subject seem daunting.

But wait! There are two things you need to think about before you become daunted. First, the field is increasingly a vital subject for all lawyers. You can't really function in today's legal world without some introduction to administrative law, so the time you invest learning the subject will not be wasted. And it is an exciting place for a lawyer to be. Administrative law professionals, whether inside or outside government, tend to work at the cutting edge of law. Administering the same policies doesn't usually require lawyers. But when the agency or the client wants to try something new, something different, something innovative, that's when your phone rings.

Answering that phone will introduce you to the variety of roles lawyers play in this field. To agency executives and regulated clients, lawyers are crucial interpreters of the law, specialists on procedural requirements, drafters, critical arbiters of policy analysis, and, of course, advocates in the courtroom and other places. With this skill set, these professionals are usually at the center of the action, including the meetings that really count. For an elaboration of these professional roles inside government, see Thomas O. McGarrity. *The Role of Government Attorneys in Regulatory Agency Rulemaking*, 61 Law & Contemp. Probs. 19 (1998).

Second, you should know that administrative law is ultimately a practical subject. When one is dealing with really important matters (think of banking regulation, civil rights, labor/management issues, workplace safety, environmental protection, monetary policy, health care, etc.) there is simply too much at stake to allow endless research or infinite doctrinal refinement. Yes, good regulatory policy must rest on solid research and intelligent principles, but the government must also be kept open. Like the experienced administrative lawyer, you should not be paralyzed by doctrinal complexity.

xxx FOREWORD

Understand it. But don't let it trap you in a box you can't get out of if more practical resolutions emerge from your thinking. Answers that work are always necessary and usually sufficient.

Finding answers that work may require consideration of multiple perspectives. Effective and workable administrative law doctrines must be consistent with legislative wishes, efficient in the day-to-day work of the executive branch and the independent agencies, sensitive to the attitudes and culture of regulated parties, and ultimately administrable by the courts. These institutions may have different needs and different resources—and finding doctrine that works tolerably well for most of them at a given time is one of the real challenges of the field. It is a challenge worthy of the best minds.

So welcome to the field of administrative law. It is sometimes intellectually difficult but always professionally rewarding. It will, without doubt, be a growth experience for you.

Preface to the Second Edition

This work is intended for the student or the foreign lawyer in need of a short introduction to the U.S. system of administrative law. Rather than being a contribution to the larger theoretical literature, it attempts to identify central principles in an understandable form and to organize them so that their essential functions are clear. The discussion is accompanied by a number of graphics that should help you visualize important doctrinal relationships.

Three important acknowledgements: I must first thank the author of the first edition, Professor William Andersen who, on retirement, invited me to take on the task of keeping the book current, as to both any new developments I thought relevant and any substantive changes I thought necessary. I appreciate the trust this implied and the freedom that resulted.

Second, I have had tremendous help from a variety of research assistants, including Josh Pico ('17), Moses Tincher ('17), and Jess Lill ('18). They have been patient and helpful as they reviewed each chapter for typos, updated cites, drafted parentheticals for me to rewrite, and researched challenging topics. Additionally, librarian James Walsh has always responded to my research questions, no matter how late at night, with a simple "always happy to help, Linda." And he always is.

Finally, I have been fortunate in having Cherie Jump as my assistant during the manuscript production process. She contributed greatly—with professional skill, grace, and a sense of humor.

Linda D. Jellum Macon, GA June 2017

About the Author

Linda Jellum is the Ellison C. Palmer Sr. Professor of Law at Mercer University School of Law. She teaches Tax Law, Administrative Law, and Statutory Interpretation. In addition to teaching, Professor Jellum is a prolific scholar and has written extensively in the areas of Tax Law, Administrative Law, and Statutory Interpretation. Her numerous articles have appeared in top law journals, such as the *Miami Law Review*, the *Virginia Tax Review*, the *UCLA Law Review*, and the *Ohio State Law Journal*. She has also authored multiple books and book chapters on statutory interpretation.

Professor Jellum has been a leader in legal education. She is currently an officer for the Southeastern Association of Law Schools. Formerly, she served as the Deputy Director for the Association of American Law Schools. In addition, she is an officer for American Bar Association Section's on Administrative Law and Regulatory Practice.

Before joining the faculty, Professor Jellum worked for the Washington State Attorney General's office. While there, she served as lead attorney for the Department of Social and Health Services. Prior to working as an assistant attorney general, she served as a law clerk for the Honorable Paul Yesawich.

Professor Jellum received her J.D. from Cornell Law School and her undergraduate degree from Cornell University. She has the unique honor of having sat for and passed five states' bar exams.