## LECTURE NOTES 2

## Gauss' Law / Divergence Theorem

Consider an imaginary / fictitious surface enclosing / surrounding e.g. a point charge (or a small charged conducting object). For simplicity, use an imaginary sphere of radius $R$ centered on charge $Q$ at origin:


Area element $d A$ is a VECTOR quantity: $d \vec{A}=d A \hat{n}=d A \hat{r}$. By convention, $\hat{n}$ is outward-pointing unit normal vector at area element $d A$. In this particular case (because of spherical symmetry of problem): $\hat{n}=\hat{r}$

FLUX OF ELECTRIC FIELD LINES (through surface $S$ ): $\Phi_{E} \equiv \int_{S} \vec{E}(\vec{r}) \cdot d \vec{A}$
$\Phi_{E}=$ "measure" of "number of $E$-field "lines" passing through surface $S$, (SI Units: Volt-meters).

TOTAL ELECTRIC FLUX ( $\Phi_{E}^{\text {TOT }}$ ) associated with any closed surface $S$, is a measure of the (total) charge enclosed by surface $S$.
n.b. charge outside of surface $S$ will contribute nothing to total electric flux $\Phi_{E}$ (since $E$-field lines pass through one portion of the surface $S$ and out another - no net flux!)

Consider our point charge $Q$ at origin. Calculate the flux of $\vec{E}$ passing through a sphere of radius $r$ : (see above picture)
n.b. Vector area element of sphere of radius, $r$ is $d \vec{A}=d A \hat{r}=\left(r^{2} \sin \theta d \theta d \varphi\right) \hat{r}$ in spherical-polar coordinates.

Thus: $\Phi_{E}=\frac{Q}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{o}} \int_{\theta=o}^{\theta=\pi} \int_{\varphi=o}^{\varphi=2 \pi} \sin \theta d \theta d \varphi \underbrace{(\hat{r} \bullet \hat{r}}_{=1})=\frac{2 \pi Q}{\underbrace{4 \pi}_{2} \varepsilon_{o}} \int_{\theta=o}^{\theta=\pi} \sin \theta d \theta$

$$
=\frac{\not 2 Q}{\not 2} \underline{\not q} \varepsilon_{0}=\frac{Q}{\varepsilon_{0}}
$$

$\therefore$ Gauss' Law (in Integral Form): $\quad \Phi_{E}=\oint_{s} \vec{E}(\vec{r}) \cdot d \vec{A}=\frac{Q_{\text {enclosed }}}{\varepsilon_{0}}$
Electric flux through closed surface $S=($ electric charge enclosed by surface $S) / \varepsilon_{o}$

If $\exists$ (= there exists) lots of discrete charges $q_{i}$ (ALL enclosed by imaginary / fictitious / Gaussian surface $S$ ), we know from principle of superposition that:
$\vec{E}_{N E T}(\vec{r})=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \vec{E}_{i}(\vec{r})$
Then: $\quad \Phi_{E}^{N E T}=\oint_{S} \vec{E}_{N E T}(\vec{r}) \cdot d \vec{A}=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\oint_{S} \vec{E}_{i}(\vec{r}) \cdot d \vec{A}\right)=\sum_{i=1} \frac{q_{i}}{\varepsilon_{o}}=\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{o}} \sum_{i=1} q_{i}=\frac{Q_{\text {encl }}}{\varepsilon_{o}}$
If $\exists$ volume charge density $\rho\left(\vec{r}^{\prime}\right)$, then: $Q_{\text {encl }}=\int_{v} \rho\left(\vec{r}^{\prime}\right) d \tau^{\prime}$

Then using the DIVERGENCE THEOREM:
$\Phi_{E}=\oint_{S} \vec{E}(\vec{r}) \cdot d \vec{A}=\int_{v} \overbrace{(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E}(\vec{r}))}^{\vee} d \tau^{\prime}=\frac{Q_{\text {encl }}}{\varepsilon_{o}}=\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{o}} \int_{v} \overbrace{\rho(\vec{r})}^{\downarrow} d \tau^{\prime}$
This relation holds for $\underline{\text { any }}$ volume $v \Rightarrow$ the integrands of $\int_{v}() d \tau^{\prime} \underline{\text { must }}$ be equal, i.e.:
$\therefore \underline{\text { Gauss' Law (in Differential Form) }: ~} \quad \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E}(\vec{r})=\rho(\vec{r}) / \varepsilon_{o}$

## The DIVERGENCE OF $\vec{E}(\vec{r}): \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E}(\vec{r})$

Calculate $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E}(\vec{r})$ directly from $\vec{E}(\vec{r})=\frac{1}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{0}} \int_{\substack{v \\ \text { all } \\ \text { space }}} \frac{\hat{r}}{r^{2}} \rho\left(\vec{r}^{\prime}\right) d \tau^{\prime}$
n.b. now extended over all space!

Remember that $\vec{r}$ is NOT a constant!


Now: $\vec{\nabla} \cdot\left(\frac{\hat{r}}{r^{2}}\right)=4 \pi \underbrace{\delta^{3}(\vec{r})}_{\substack{3-D \\ \text { Dirac } \\ \delta-f \text { - } n .}} \quad$ (see equation 1.100, Griffiths p. 50)
Thus: $\vec{\nabla} \cdot\left(\frac{r}{r^{2}}\right)=4 \pi \delta^{3}(\vec{r}) \quad$ or : $\quad \vec{\nabla} \cdot\left(\frac{\vec{r}-\vec{r}^{\prime}}{\left|\vec{r}-\vec{r}^{\prime}\right|^{3}}\right)=4 \pi \delta^{3}\left(\vec{r}-\vec{r}^{\prime}\right)$
$\therefore \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E}(\vec{r})=\frac{1}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{0}} \int_{\substack{\text { all } \\ \text { space }}} 4 \pi \delta^{3}\left(\vec{r}-\vec{r}^{\prime}\right) \rho\left(\vec{r}^{\prime}\right) d \tau^{\prime}=\frac{\rho(\vec{r})}{\varepsilon_{o}} \quad \frac{\text { Gauss' Law in Differentia }}{} \begin{aligned} & \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E}(\vec{r})=\frac{\rho(\vec{r})}{\varepsilon_{o}}\end{aligned}$
Gauss' Law in Integral Form:
$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E}(\vec{r})=\frac{\rho(\vec{r})}{\varepsilon_{o}}$, thus: $\int_{V}\left(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E}\left(\vec{r}^{\prime}\right)\right) d \tau^{\prime}=\int_{V}\left(\frac{\rho\left(\vec{r}^{\prime}\right)}{\varepsilon_{o}}\right) d \tau^{\prime}=\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{o}} \int_{V} \rho\left(\vec{r}^{\prime}\right) d \tau^{\prime}=\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{o}} Q_{\text {encl }}$
Now apply/use the Divergence Theorem on the volume integral associated with $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E}\left(\vec{r}^{\prime}\right)$ :
$\int_{v}\left(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E}\left(\vec{r}^{\prime}\right)\right) d \tau^{\prime}=\oint_{S} \vec{E}(\vec{r}) \cdot d \vec{A}=\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{o}} \int_{v} \rho\left(\vec{r}^{\prime}\right) d \tau^{\prime}=\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{o}} Q_{\text {encl }}$
Thus we obtain: $\oint_{S} \vec{E}\left(\vec{r}^{\prime}\right) \cdot d \vec{A}^{\prime}=\frac{Q_{\text {encl }}}{\varepsilon_{o}}$ Gauss' Law in Integral Form

## APPLICATIONS OF GAUSS' LAW - very explicit, detailed derivation -

Griffiths Example 2.2: Find / determine the electric field intensity $\vec{E}(\vec{r})$ outside a uniformly charged solid sphere of radius $R$ and total charge $q$ :
draw concentric Gaussian surface with radius $r>R$ centered on solid charged sphere of radius $R$.

Field Point $P$ @ $\vec{r}$ on Gaussian surface

Infinitesimal area element

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \vec{A} & =d A \hat{n}=d A \hat{r} \\
d A & =r^{2} d(\cos \theta) d \varphi \\
& =r^{2} \sin \theta d \theta d \varphi
\end{aligned}
$$

Fictitious / Imaginary spherical Gaussian surface $S$ of radius $r$

Total charge $q$

Gauss' Law: $\oint_{S} \vec{E}(\vec{r}) \cdot d \vec{A}=\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{o}} Q_{\text {encl }}=\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{o}} q=\frac{q}{\varepsilon_{0}}$
n.b. by symmetry of sphere:

$$
\vec{E}(\vec{r})=E(\vec{r}) \hat{r} \quad d \vec{A}=d A \hat{n}=d A \hat{r}
$$

(for Gaussian sphere)
$\bar{E}_{\text {sphere }}(r>R)=E(r) \hat{r}$
i.e. $E$ must be radial!!
$\therefore \vec{E}(\vec{r}) \cdot d \vec{A}=(E(\vec{r}) \hat{r}) \cdot(d A \hat{r})=E(\vec{r}) d A \underbrace{(\hat{r} \bullet \hat{r})}_{=1}=E(\vec{r}) d A$
n.b. Here again, by symmetry,

NOTE: $E(\vec{r})=|\vec{E}(\vec{r})| \Leftarrow \quad$ the magnitude of $\vec{E}$ is constant $\forall$ (for all)/for any fixed $r!!!$ (on the Gaussian spherical surface).
$\therefore \oint_{S} \vec{E}(\vec{r}) \cdot d \vec{A}=\oint_{S} E(\vec{r}) d A=q / \varepsilon_{o}$

$$
=E(\vec{r}) \oint_{S} d A=E(\vec{r})\left(4 \pi r^{2}\right)=q / \varepsilon_{o}
$$

$\therefore E(\vec{r})=q / 4 \pi \varepsilon_{0} r^{2}=\frac{1}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{0}} \frac{q}{r^{2}}$ or: $\vec{E}(\vec{r})=\frac{q}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{0} r^{2}} \hat{r}=\frac{1}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{o}} \frac{q}{r^{2}} \hat{r}$
$=$ Electric field outside a charged sphere of radius $R$ at radial distance $r>R$ from center of sphere.
n.b. the electric field (for $r>R$ ) for charged sphere is equivalent / identical to that of a point charge $q$ located at the origin!!!
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## GAUSS' LAW AND SYMMETRY

Use of (Geometrical / Reflection) symmetry (and any / all kinds of symmetry arguments in general) can be extremely powerful in terms of simplifying seemingly complicated problems!!
$\Rightarrow$ Learn skill of recognizing symmetries and applying symmetry arguments to solve problems!

## Examples of use of Geometrical Symmetries and Gauss' Law

a) Charged sphere - use concentric Gaussian sphere and spherical coordinates
b) Charged cylinder - use coaxial Gaussian cylinder and cylindrical coordinates
c) Charged box / Charged plane - use appropriately co-located Gaussian "pillbox" (rectangular box) and rectangular coordinates
d) Charged ellipse - use concentric Gaussian ellipse and elliptical coordinates
e) Charged planar equilateral triangle
f) Charged pyramid

Think about
these!!

Griffiths Example 2.3 Consider a long cylinder (e.g. plastic rod) of length $L$ and radius $S$ that carries a volume charge density $\rho$ that is proportional to the distance from the axis $s$ of the cylinder / rod i.e.
$\rho(s)=k s\left(\frac{\text { coulombs }}{(\text { meter })^{3}}\right)$
$k=$ proportionality constant $\left(\frac{\text { coulombs }}{(\text { meter })^{4}}\right)$
a) Determine the electric field $\vec{E}(\vec{r})$ inside this long cylinder / charged plastic rod - Use a coaxial Gaussian cylinder of length $l$ and radius $s$ : (with $l \ll L$ )

Gauss' Law $\quad \oint_{S} \vec{E}(\vec{r}) \cdot d \vec{A}=\frac{Q_{\text {encl }}}{\varepsilon_{o}}$
Enclosed charge: $\quad Q_{\text {encl }}=\int_{v} \rho\left(s^{\prime}\right) d \tau^{\prime}=\int_{v}\left(k s^{\prime}\right)\left(s^{\prime} d s^{\prime} d \varphi d z\right) \Leftarrow$ integral over Gaussian surface

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{\text {encl }}=\int_{s^{\prime}=0}^{s^{\prime}=s} \int_{\varphi=0}^{\varphi=2 \pi} \int_{z=0}^{z=1}\left(k s^{\prime}\right)\left(s^{\prime} d s^{\prime} d \varphi d z\right)=2 \pi k l \int_{s^{\prime}=0}^{s^{\prime}=s} s^{\prime 2} d s^{\prime} \\
& Q_{\text {encl }}=\frac{2}{3} \pi k l s^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

LHS Gaussian endcap Coaxial Gaussian cylinder


Cylindrical Symmetry $\Rightarrow \vec{E}(\vec{r})=E(\vec{r}) \hat{r}$ (i.e. $\vec{E}$ points radially outward, $\perp$ to z-axis.)


Again, from cylindrical symmetry (here): $E(\vec{r})=|\vec{E}(\vec{r})|=\underline{\text { constant }}$ on cylindrical Gaussian surface - i.e. fixed $r=|\vec{r}|=s$

What are $d \vec{A}_{\text {cyl. }}, d \vec{A}_{\substack{\text { LHS } \\ \text { endcap }}}$, and $d \vec{A}_{\substack{\text { RHS } \\ \text { endcap }}}$ ???

$$
d \vec{A}_{c y l .}=\underbrace{s d l d \varphi}_{\substack{\text { endcap }}} \hat{r} \leftarrow\left(\hat{n}_{\text {cyl. }}=\hat{r}\right) \quad d \vec{A}_{\text {ehs }}=s d s d \varphi(-\hat{z})=-s d s d \varphi \hat{z} \leftarrow\left(\hat{n}_{\substack{\text { ens } \\ \text { endcap }}}=-\hat{z}\right)
$$

infinitesimal surface area
element of Gaussian cylinder $\quad \underset{\substack{\text { RHS } \\ \text { endcap }}}{ }=s d s d \varphi(+\hat{z})=+s d s d \varphi \hat{z} \leftarrow\left(\hat{n}_{\substack{\text { RHS } \\ \text { endap }}}=+\hat{Z}\right)$

Note(s):
$E(\vec{r})=|\vec{E}(\vec{r})|=$ constant on cylindrical Gaussian surface (fixed $r=s$ )
$\vec{E}(\vec{r})=E(\vec{r}) \hat{r}$ by symmetry of charged cylinder
On LHS and RHS endcaps $\vec{E}(\vec{r})$ is not constant, because $r$ is changing there - (but $\vec{E}$ still points in $\hat{r}$ direction! However, note that $\hat{r} \bullet \hat{r}=1$ and $\hat{r} \bullet( \pm \hat{z}) \equiv 0 \Rightarrow$ Gaussian endcap terms do not contribute!!! Constant here

$$
\therefore \oint_{\substack{\text { Gausian } \\
\text { cylinder }}} \vec{E}(\vec{r}) \cdot d \vec{A}=\int_{\begin{array}{c}
\text { cylindrical } \\
\text { Causian } \\
\text { surface }
\end{array}} E(\vec{r}) s d l d \varphi=E(\vec{r}) s \int_{z=0}^{z=l} \int_{\varphi=0}^{\varphi=2 \pi} d l d \varphi=E(\vec{r}) \operatorname{sl}(2 \pi)=2 \pi s l E(\vec{r})
$$

Putting this all together now: $\quad \oint_{S} \vec{E}(\vec{r}) \cdot d \vec{A}=\frac{Q_{\text {encl }}}{\varepsilon_{o}} \quad$ where (here): $\quad Q_{\text {encl }}=\frac{2}{3} \pi k l s^{3}$

$$
2 \pi \not \subset \not / E(\vec{r})=\frac{2 \pi k s^{\chi^{3}} \not /}{3 \varepsilon_{o}} \quad \text { or: } \quad \begin{array}{|}
\text { inside } \\
\vec{E}_{\text {in }}(\vec{r})=\frac{k s^{2}}{3 \varepsilon_{o}} \hat{r} \\
(s=r<S)
\end{array} \quad \text { n.b. }(\hat{r} \equiv \hat{s}) \leftarrow \text { as used in Griffith's }
$$

b) Find ELECTRIC FIELD $\vec{E}(\vec{r})$ outside of this long cylinder / charged plastic rod Again, use Coaxial Gaussian cylinder of length $l(\ll L)$ and radius $s(>S)$ :

Gauss' Law: $\oint_{S} \vec{E}(\vec{r}) \cdot d \vec{A}=\frac{Q_{\text {encl }}}{\varepsilon_{o}}$


Again, from symmetry of long cylinder $\vec{E}(\vec{r})=E(\vec{r}) \hat{r}=$ constant (radial) direction!!

$$
r=s \text { (fixed radius) }
$$

Now: $\hat{r} \cdot \hat{r}=1 \quad$ and $\quad \hat{r} \bullet( \pm \hat{z}) \equiv 0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d \vec{A}_{c y l}=s d l d \varphi \hat{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left|d \vec{A}_{c y l}\right| \hat{r}=d A_{c y l} \hat{r} \\
& d \underset{\substack{\text { Rndcap }}}{d \vec{A}_{\text {ens }}}=s d s d \varphi(+\hat{z})=+s d s d \varphi \hat{\mathrm{z}}=|\underset{\substack{\text { endcap } \\
\text { enS }}}{d}|(+\hat{\mathrm{z}})
\end{aligned}
$$


$\therefore$ Electric field outside charged $\operatorname{rod}(s=r>S): \quad E_{\text {out }}(\vec{r})=\frac{2 \pi k / S^{3}}{3 \cdot 2 \pi s / \varepsilon_{o}} \hat{r}=\frac{k S^{3}}{3 s \varepsilon_{o}}$

## ELECTRIC FIELD (INSIDE/OUTSIDE)

vs. radial distance $s$

## LONG CHARGED CYLINDER <br> (radius $S, \rho(s)=k s$ )

Inside $(s<S)$ :
$\vec{E}_{\text {in }}(\vec{r})=\frac{k s^{2}}{3 \varepsilon_{o}} \hat{s}$

Outside $(s>S)$ :
$\vec{E}_{\text {out }}(\vec{r})=\frac{k S^{3}}{3 \varepsilon_{o}}\left(\frac{1}{s}\right) \hat{s} \quad(\hat{s}=\hat{r})$

Make a plot of $|\vec{E}(\vec{r})|$ vs. radial distance $s$ :


APPLICATIONS OF GAUSS' LAW - very explicit / detailed derivation -
Griffiths Example 2.4: An infinite plane carries uniform charge $\sigma$ (coulombs / meter $^{2}$ ). Find the electric field a distance $z=z_{0}$ above (or below) the plane.

Use Gaussian Pillbox centered on $\infty$-plane:


Again, from the symmetry associated with $\infty$-plane,

$$
\vec{E}(\vec{r})=E(\vec{r}) \hat{z}=E(z) \hat{z} \quad \text { (above plane), } \quad=-E(z) \hat{z} \quad \text { (below plane) }
$$

The Gaussian Pillbox has 6 sides - and thus has six outward unit normal vectors: :


Then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \oint_{S} \vec{E}(\vec{r}) \cdot d \vec{A}=\int_{A_{1}} \vec{E}(\vec{r}) \cdot d \vec{A}_{1}+\int_{A_{2}} \vec{E}(\vec{r}) \cdot d \vec{A}_{2}+\int_{A_{3}} \vec{E}(\vec{r}) \cdot d \vec{A}_{3} \\
&+\int_{A_{4}} \vec{E}(\vec{r}) \cdot d \vec{A}_{4}+\int_{A_{5}} \vec{E}(\vec{r}) \cdot d \vec{A}_{5}+\int_{A_{6}} \vec{E}(\vec{r}) \cdot d \vec{A}_{6} \\
& d \vec{A}_{1}=+d y d z \hat{x} \\
& d \vec{A}_{3}=+d x d z \hat{y} d \vec{A}_{2}=d y d z(-\hat{x})=-d y d z \hat{x} \\
& d \vec{A}_{5}=+d x d y \hat{z} d \vec{A}_{4}=d x d z(-\hat{y})=-d x d z \hat{y} \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

for $z>0: \quad \vec{E}(\vec{r})=+E(z) \hat{z} \quad$ Again, by symmetry (of plane)
for $z<0: \quad \vec{E}(\vec{r})=E(z)(-\hat{z})=-E(z) \hat{z} \quad$ n.b. $E(z)=$ constant (at least for fixed $z$ ).

Now because $\vec{E}(r)= \pm E(z) \hat{z}$ for $\left\{\begin{array}{l}z>0 \\ z<0\end{array}\right\}$ respectively, we must break up integrals over $z$ into two separate regions: $\int_{z=-h / 2}^{z=+h / 2} d z=\int_{z=-h / 2}^{z=0} d z+\int_{z=0}^{z=+h / 2} d z$

Then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \oint_{S} \vec{E}(\vec{r}) \cdot d \vec{A}=\int_{y=-l / 2}^{y=+l / 2} \int_{z=-h / 2}^{z=+h / 2} \vec{E}(\vec{r}) \cdot(d y d z \hat{x})+\int_{y=-l / 2}^{y=+l / 2} \int_{z=-h / 2}^{z=+h / 2} \vec{E}(\vec{r}) \cdot(-d y d z \hat{x}) \\
& +\int_{x=-l / 2}^{x=+l / 2} \int_{z=-h / 2}^{z=+h / 2} \vec{E}(\vec{r}) \cdot(d x d z \hat{y})+\int_{x=-l / 2}^{x=+l / 2} \int_{z=-h / 2}^{z=+h / 2} \vec{E}(\vec{r}) \cdot(-d x d z \hat{y}) \\
& +\int_{x=-1 / 2}^{x=+l / 2} \int_{y=-l / 2}^{y=+l / 2} \vec{E}(\vec{r}) \cdot(d x d y \hat{z})+\int_{x=-l / 2}^{x=+l / 2} \int_{y=-1 / 2}^{y=+l / 2} \vec{E}(\vec{r}) \cdot(-d x d y \hat{z}) \\
& \oint_{S} \vec{E}(\vec{r}) \bullet d \vec{A}=\int_{y=-l / 2}^{y=+l / 2}\left[\int_{z=-h / 2}^{z=0}(-E(z) \hat{z} \cdot \widehat{x}) d y d z+\int_{z=0}^{z=+h / 2}(+E(z) \hat{z} \cdot \widehat{X}) d y d z\right] \leftarrow \operatorname{side} A_{1} \text { (front) } \\
& +\int_{y=-l / 2}^{y=+l / 2}\left[\int_{z=-h / 2}^{z=0}(-E(z) \hat{z} \circ \widehat{x}) d y d z+\int_{z=0}^{z=+h / 2}(+E(z) \hat{y} \circ \widehat{x}) d y d z\right] \leftarrow \text { side } \mathrm{A}_{2} \text { (back) } \\
& +\int_{x=-1 / 2}^{x=+l / 2}\left[\int_{z=-h / 2}^{z=0}(-E(z) \hat{z} \cdot \hat{y}) d x d z+\int_{z=0}^{z=+h / 2}(+E(z) \hat{z} \cdot \bar{y}) d x d z\right] \leftarrow \operatorname{side} A_{3} \text { (RHS) } \\
& +\int_{x=-l / 2}^{x=+l / 2}\left[\int_{z=-h / 2}^{z=0}(-E(z) \hat{z} \cdot \hat{y}) d x d z+\int_{z=0}^{z=+h / 2}(+E(z) \hat{z} \cdot \hat{y}) d x d z\right] \leftarrow \text { side } A_{4} \text { (LHS) } \\
& +\underbrace{\int_{x=-l / 2}^{x=+l / 2} \int_{y=-l / 2}^{y=+l / 2}(-E(z) \hat{z} \bullet-\hat{z}) d x d y}_{\operatorname{side} A_{6} \text { (bottom) }}+\underbrace{\int_{x=-l / 2}^{x=+l / 2} \int_{y=-l / 2}^{y=+l / 2}(E(z) \hat{z} \bullet \hat{z}) d x d y}_{\operatorname{side} A_{5} \text { (top) }}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now: $(\hat{z} \bullet \hat{x})=0 \quad(\hat{z} \bullet \hat{y})=0 \quad(\hat{x} \bullet \hat{z})=0 \quad(\hat{y} \cdot \hat{z})=0 \quad$ etc.
And: $(\hat{x} \cdot \hat{x})=1 \quad(\hat{y} \cdot \hat{y})=1 \quad(\hat{z} \cdot \hat{z})=1$
$\therefore$ Because $\hat{x} \perp \hat{y} \perp \hat{z}$, no contributions to $\oint_{S} \vec{E} \cdot d \vec{A}$ (here) from $\underline{4 \text { sides } \text { of Gaussian Pillbox }}$ (i.e. $A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}$ and $A_{4}$ )
$\Rightarrow$ Only remaining / non-zero contributions are from bottom and top surfaces of Gaussian Pillbox because $\hat{n}_{5}=-\hat{z}$ and $\hat{n}_{6}=+\hat{z}$ which are $\|$ (or anti-parallel) to $E(z) \hat{z}$

Thus, we only have (here):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\oint_{S} \vec{E}(\vec{r}) \cdot d \vec{A}=\int_{x=-l / 2}^{x=+/ 2} \int_{y=-l / 2}^{y=+l / 2}(-E(z) \hat{z} \cdot(-\hat{z})) d x d y & \leftarrow \text { side } A_{6}(\text { bottom }) \\
+\int_{x=-l / 2}^{x=+l / 2} \int_{y=-l / 2}^{y=+l / 2}(+E(z) \hat{\mathbf{z}} \cdot \hat{z}) d x d y & \leftarrow \text { side } A_{5}(\text { top })
\end{aligned}
$$

These integrals are not over $z$, and $E(z)=$ constant for $z=$ fixed $=z_{o}$
$\therefore$ can pull $E(z)$ outside integral, $\hat{z} \cdot \hat{z}=1 \quad-\hat{z} \cdot \hat{z}=-1 \quad$ etc.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\therefore \oint_{S} \vec{E}(\vec{r}) \cdot d \vec{A}= & +E(z) \int_{x=-l / 2}^{x=+/ 2} \int_{y=-l / 2}^{y=+l / 2} d x d y \leftarrow \operatorname{side} A_{6}(\text { bottom }) \\
& +E(z) \int_{x=-l / 2}^{x=1 / 2} \int_{y=-l / 2}^{y=+l / 2} d x d y \leftarrow \operatorname{side} A_{5}(\text { top }) \\
& =E(z) l^{2}+E(z) l^{2}=2 E(z) l^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

But: $\quad l^{2}=l \times l \equiv \mathrm{~A}=$ surface area of top and bottom surfaces of Gaussian Pillbox
Now: $\oint_{S} \vec{E}(\vec{r}) \cdot d \vec{A}=\frac{Q_{\text {encl }}}{\varepsilon_{o}} \quad$ What is $\mathrm{Q}_{\text {encl }}$ (by Gaussian Pillbox)?
$Q_{\text {encl }}=\sigma\left(\frac{\left.\text { Coulombs }^{\text {meter }^{2}}\right) \times \mathrm{A}\left(\text { meters }^{2}\right)=\sigma l^{2}(\text { Coulombs }), ~() ~}{\text { ( }}\right.$
$\therefore \oint_{S} \vec{E}(\vec{r}) \cdot d \vec{A}=\frac{Q_{\text {encl }}}{\varepsilon_{o}} \Rightarrow 2 E(z) \not y^{\not ㇒}=\sigma \not y^{\not ㇒} / \varepsilon_{o} \quad$ or: $\quad E(z)=\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \sigma / \varepsilon_{o}=\frac{\sigma}{2 \varepsilon_{o}}$
Vectorially: $\vec{E}(z)=\left(\sigma / 2 \varepsilon_{o}\right)\left\{\begin{array}{c}+\hat{,}, \text { for } \\ -\hat{z}, \text { for } \\ z<0\end{array}\right\} \quad$ NOTE: $|\vec{E}(z)|=$ constant!!
No $z$ - dependence for charged $\infty$ plane!

$$
\vec{E}(\vec{r}) \text { from } \infty-\text { plane (slight return) }
$$

Note that in the initial process of setting up the Gaussian Pillbox, if we'd shrunk the height $h$ of the Pillbox to be infinitesimally small, i.e. $h \rightarrow \delta h$ and then took the limit $\delta h \rightarrow 0$, the contributions to $\oint_{S} \vec{E}(\vec{r}) \cdot d \vec{A}$ from (infinitesimally small) sides of ( $A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}$ and $A_{4}$ ) Gaussian Pillbox would (formally) have vanished (i.e. $=0$ ) independently of whether integrand $(\vec{E}(\vec{r}) \cdot d \vec{A})$ vanished on these sides (or not). Only top and bottom surfaces contribute to $\oint_{S} \vec{E}(\vec{r}) \cdot d \vec{A}$ then (here).

So using this "trick" of the shrinking Pillbox at a surface / boundary very often can be useful, to simplify doing the problem.

This explicitly shows that (sometimes) there is more than one way to correctly do / solve a problem - equivalent methods may exist.
$\rightarrow$ It is very important, conceptually-speaking to have a (very) clear / good understanding of how to do these Gauss' Law-type problems the "long' way and the "short" way!

The Curl of $\vec{E}(\vec{r}):(\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E}(\vec{r}))$
First, study / consider simplest possible situation: point charge at origin: $\vec{E}(\vec{r})=\frac{1}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{o}}\left(\frac{q}{r^{2}}\right) \hat{r}$
(note: $\vec{r} \equiv \vec{r}-\vec{r}^{\prime}=\vec{r}$ here because $\vec{r}^{\prime}=0$ - charge $q$ located at origin!!!)
Thus (here), $\vec{E}(\vec{r})$ is radial (i.e. in $\hat{r}$ - direction) due to spherical symmetry of problem (rotational invariance), thus static $\vec{E}$-field has no rotation/swirl/whirl $\Rightarrow$ no curl! (Read Griffith's Ch. 1 on curl)

$$
\Rightarrow \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E}(\vec{r})=0(\underline{\text { must }}=0)
$$

Let's calculate:
Line integral $\int_{a}^{b} \vec{E}(\vec{r}) \cdot d \vec{\ell} \quad$ as shown in figure below:


In spherical coordinates: $d \vec{\ell}=d r \hat{r}+r d \theta \hat{\theta}+r \sin \theta d \varphi \hat{\varphi}$
$\vec{E}(\vec{r}) \cdot d \vec{\ell}=\frac{1}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{o}}\left(\frac{q}{r^{2}}\right) \hat{r} \cdot\{d r \hat{r}+r d \theta \hat{\theta}+r \sin \theta \varphi \hat{\varphi}\}$
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Again: } & \hat{r} \bullet \hat{r}=1 & \hat{r} \bullet \hat{\theta}=0 \\ & \hat{\theta} \bullet \hat{\theta}=1 & \hat{\theta} \bullet \hat{r}=0 \\ & \hat{\varphi} \bullet \hat{\varphi}=1 & \hat{\varphi} \bullet \hat{r}=0\end{array} \quad(\hat{\varphi} \bullet \hat{\varphi}=0 \quad(\hat{r}, \hat{\theta}$, and $\hat{\varphi}$ are mutually $)$
$\therefore \vec{E}(\vec{r}) \cdot d \vec{\ell}=\frac{1}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{o}}\left(\frac{q}{r^{2}}\right) d r$

Thus: $\int_{a}^{b} \vec{E}(\vec{r}) \cdot d \vec{\ell}=\frac{1}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{o}} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{q}{r^{2}} d r=\left.\frac{-1}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{o}}\left(\frac{q}{r}\right)\right|_{r_{a}} ^{r_{b}}=\frac{1}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{o}}\left(\frac{q}{r_{a}}-\frac{q}{r_{b}}\right)=\frac{q}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{o}}\left(\frac{1}{r_{a}}-\frac{1}{r_{b}}\right)$
$r_{\mathrm{a}}=$ distance from origin O to point $\underline{a} . r_{\mathrm{b}}=$ distance from origin O to point $\underline{b}$.
The line integral $\int \vec{E}(\vec{r}) \cdot d \vec{\ell}$ around a closed contour $C$ is zero!
i.e. $\oint_{C} \vec{E}(\vec{r}) \cdot d \vec{\ell}=0$ This is not a trivial result! (Not true $\forall$ vectors!!)
(But is true for static $\bar{E}$-fields)
Use Stokes' Theorem (See Griffiths, Ch. 1.3.5, p. 34 and Appendix A-5)


Since $\int_{S}(\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E}(\vec{r})) \cdot d \vec{A}=0 \quad$ must be $/$ is true for arbitrary closed surface $S$, this can only be true for all $\forall$ closed surfaces $S$ IFF (if and only if): $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E}(\vec{r})=0$

Can use the Principle of Superposition to show that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vec{E}_{\text {TOT }}(\vec{r})=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \vec{E}_{i}(\vec{r})=\frac{1}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{o}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{q_{i}}{r_{i}^{2}} r_{i} \leftarrow i=1,2,3 \ldots N \text { discrete charges, and } \vec{r}_{i}=\left(\vec{r}-\vec{r}_{i}\right) \\
&= \vec{E}_{1}(\vec{r})+\vec{E}_{2}(\vec{r})+\vec{E}_{3}(\vec{r})+\ldots+\vec{E}_{N}(\vec{r}) \\
& \text { source points } \\
& @_{1}, \vec{r}_{2} \ldots \vec{r}_{N}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then: $\bar{\nabla} \times \vec{E}_{\text {ТОТ }}(\vec{r})=\bar{\nabla} \times \sum_{i=1}^{N} \vec{E}_{i}(\vec{r})=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E}_{i}(\vec{r})\right)$
$=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\nabla} \times\left(\frac{1}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{o}}\left(\frac{q_{i}}{r_{i}^{2}}\right) \hat{r}_{i}\right)=0 \Leftarrow$ n.b. all individual terms $=0!!!$
or: $\quad \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E}_{\text {Тот }}(\vec{r})=\frac{1}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{o}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} q_{i} \vec{\nabla} \times\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{r}_{i}^{2}}\right) \hat{r}_{i}=0$

It can be shown that $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E}(\vec{r})=0$

> FOR ANY STATIC CHARGE DISTRIBUTION STATIC = NO TIME DEPENDENCE / VARIATION
$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E}(\vec{r})=0$ HOLDS FOR:

- Static Discrete/Point Charges
- Static Line Charges

All Static Charge Distributions

- Static Surface Charges
- Static Volume Charges


Again, this not trivial (we'll see why, soon. . . )
One other (very important) point about the mathematical \& geometrical nature of vector fields:
The nature of a (physically-realizable) vector field $\vec{A}(\vec{r})$ is fully specified if both its divergence $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A}(\vec{r})$ and its curl $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}(\vec{r})$ are known.

This is a consequence of the so-called Helmholtz theorem - see/read Appendix B of Griffiths book.
The Helmholtz theorem also has an important corollary:
Any differentiable vector function $\vec{A}(\vec{r})$ that goes to zero faster than $1 / r$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ can be expressed as the gradient of a scalar plus the curl of a vector:

$$
\vec{A}(\vec{r})=\vec{\nabla}\left(-\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{v^{\prime}} \frac{\vec{\nabla}^{\prime} \cdot \vec{A}\left(\vec{r}^{\prime}\right)}{r} d \tau^{\prime}\right)+\vec{\nabla} \times\left(\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{v^{\prime}} \frac{\vec{\nabla}^{\prime} \times \vec{A}\left(\vec{r}^{\prime}\right)}{r} d \tau^{\prime}\right)
$$

For the case of electrostatics: $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E}(\vec{r})=\rho(\vec{r}) / \varepsilon_{o}$ and $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E}(\vec{r})=0$

Thus:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{E}(\vec{r}) & =\vec{\nabla}\left(-\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{v^{\prime}} \frac{\vec{\nabla}^{\prime} \cdot \vec{E}\left(\vec{r}^{\prime}\right)}{r} d \tau^{\prime}\right)+\vec{\nabla} \times\left(\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{v^{\prime}} \frac{\overline{\nabla^{\prime}} \times \vec{E}\left(\vec{r}^{\prime}\right)}{r} d \tau^{\prime}\right) \\
& =-\frac{1}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{o}} \vec{\nabla}\left(\int_{v^{\prime}} \frac{\rho\left(\vec{r}^{\prime}\right)}{r} d \tau^{\prime}\right)=-\vec{\nabla} V(\vec{r})
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e. $\quad \vec{E}(\vec{r})=-\vec{\nabla} V(\vec{r})$ with $V(\vec{r}) \equiv \frac{1}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{0}} \int_{v^{\prime}} \frac{\rho\left(\vec{r}^{\prime}\right)}{r} d \tau^{\prime}=$ Electrostatic Potential $\begin{gathered}\text { SI Units: } \\ \text { Volts }\end{gathered}$

This result is valid e.g. in electrostatics for localized (i.e. finite spatial extent) charge distributions.
For infinite-expanse charge distributions (n.b. these are unphysical/artificial!), we must appeal to (more sophisticated) mathematical formalisms than the Helmholtz theorem...

